

Subject: Pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Thu, 12 Sep 2002 22:50:26 EDT
From: <Ljmogil@aol.com>
To: devans@doc.gov
CC: gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,
I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.
Sincerely,

Laura and Robert Mogil
2 Stonington Heights
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Laura Mogil
LJM Public Relations
(914) 923-0270
ljmogil@aol.com

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Thu, 12 Sep 2002 17:43:11 EDT

From: <LBNEWMAN@aol.com>

To: devans@doc.gov

CC: gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with

FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Leslie Newman

Subject: Pipeline project

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Sat, 14 Sep 2002 14:31:49 -0400

From: "Paul & Joi Muratore" <muratore@bellatlantic.net>

To: <devans@doc.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002
<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

We are writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Paul & Joi Muratore

6 Algonquian Trail

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: FW: Millennium Pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Sun, 15 Sep 2002 09:31:54 -0400
From: "Peter S. Rosen" <longroses@earthlink.net>
To: <devans@doc.gov>
CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 15, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The

pipeline would be built

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium

Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Peter S. Rosen

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Peter S. Rosen
914.773.0431 home
914.841.2569 cell
914.773.0432 fax

Subject: Millenium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 22:58:19 -0400

From: "Rhonda Schonfeld" <rhondas@bestweb.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Schonfeld and Alan Mandelbaum

18 East Mt. Airy Road

Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

914-271-9479

*** New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170**

Subject: Please Stop The Millenium Pipeline.

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 22:03:18 -0400

From: "Mike Feingold" <Mike@shoppingsolutions.com>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone) within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Michael M. Feingold
120 Hardscrabble Road
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

Subject:

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 21:43:58 -0400

From: "Linda Conte" <lindac@westnet.com>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the

opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Linda R. Conte
Victor M. Conte
1420 Journey's End Road
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millenium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 19:16:08 EDT

From: <PamelaNY@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought-out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Pamela J. Pensock
31 Woodybrook Lane
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10163

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millenium is inconsistent w/ CZMA!

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 21:37:58 -0400

From: "Elizabeth Keller" <lizzy@bestweb.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth L. Keller

224 Hessian Hills Road

Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millenium Pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 17:29:08 -0400

From: Kurt Carlson <kcarlson@petermarinoarchitect.com>

To: "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

David Kurt, Elizabeth and Louisa Carlson

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Peter Marino + Assoc, Architects
150 East 58 Street
New York, NY 10022
Telephone 212/752 5444
Fax 212/759 3727

This message contains confidential information and is intended for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this message by mistake and delete this email from your system.

Email transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of email transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version.

Subject: Fwd: PLEASE DO NOT OVERTURN DOS DECISION
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 06:31:26 -0700 (PDT)
From: Judy Piccolo <judypiccolo@yahoo.com>
To: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Note: forwarded message attached.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! News - Today's headlines
<http://news.yahoo.com>

Subject: PLEASE DO NOT OVERTURN DOS DECISION
Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 06:25:19 -0700 (PDT)
From: Judy Piccolo <judypiccolo@yahoo.com>
To: devans@doc.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 16, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

1. within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
2. within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
3. 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

4. within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Judy Piccolo
2 Westminster Drive
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520
judypiccolo@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! News - Today's headlines
<http://news.yahoo.com>

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 09:39:29 -0400

From: "Roger Grossman" <grossman@mpsilt.com>

To: <devans@doc.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Roger Grossman
35 Dirubbo Drive
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Stop the Millennium Pipeline from crossing the Hudson River!

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 13:25:16 -0400

From: "Patricia S. Rallis" <PRSwartz@msn.com>

To: <Devan@doc.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York. The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is

Stop the Millennium Pipeline from crossing the Hudson River!

investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Patricia S. Rallis

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Patricia S. Rallis
491 Old Chappaqua Rd
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510
914-923-8072
PRSwartz@msn.com

Subject: Proposed Millennium project
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 10:22:21 -0400
From: "Larry Provost" <larryprovost@earthlink.net>
To: <devans@doc.gov>
CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 16, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" /><?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans
U.S. Department of Commerce
Room 5854
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing to let you know I support the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project ruling the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. I urge you to leave as written this well thought out decision and not tamper with States' Rights by overriding it.

I urge you as Secretary of Commerce not to override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the proposed Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure. The proposed project has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The proposed pipeline has numerous risks as it is:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City. There is also the existing Algonquin pipeline whose route closely parallels the proposed Millennium project. The existing pipeline (or its right of way) is a viable resource that has not been adequately explored as an alternative to an additional pipeline right of way.

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. and be sure to allow enough time for all concerned to be heard **IN THE ORDER THEY ARRIVE FOR THE MEETING**. Previous meetings, such as the Mt. Vernon meeting, catered to pressure from the media to schedule high profile political commentators first after which they (the media *and* politicians) then promptly left. This had the effect of depriving ordinary citizens of their rights to have their comments equally heard and considered.

I look forward to the opportunity to express my concerns about this project at the hearing and sincerely hope that you

will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Larry Provost

116 Teatown Road, Croton NY 10520