

APPENDIX B

Aquifer Test Analysis

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

CEI HHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure B-1 Analysis of Well 1a drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure 8-2. Analysis of Well 1A drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.




Figure R-3. Analysis of Well 1A drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure 8-4. Analysis of Shallow Well 2 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.





Figure B-5. Analysis of Shallow Well 2 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC



Analysis of Shallow Well 2 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946)  
method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure B-7. Analysis of Well 3 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.




Figure B-8. Analysis of Well 3 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure 8-9. Analysis of Well 3 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure 8-10. Analysis of Well 4 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure B-11. Analysis of Well 4 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.




Figure B-12. Analysis of Well 4 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC




Figure 8-13. Analysis of Well 5 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

CERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure B-14. Analysis of Well 5 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.




Figure B-15. Analysis of Well 5 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC




Figure B-16. Analysis of Well 6 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC




Figure B-17. Analysis of Well 6 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure 8-18. Analysis of Well 6 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC




Figure B-19. Analysis of Well 7 drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER.




Figure 4-20. Analysis of Well 7 drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.




Figure B-21. Analysis of Well 7 drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.




Figure B-22. Analysis of 6-inch steel well drawdown data using Theis (1935) method for confined aquifers.




Figure 8-23. Analysis of 6-in steel well drawdown data corrected for saturated thickness using Theis (1935) method.

GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.

Figure 8-24. Analysis of 6-in steel well drawdown data using Cooper-Jacob (1946) method for confined aquifers.

