

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT1
BACKGROUND8
ARGUMENT	12
POINT I	
THE SECRETARY SHOULD NOT OVERRIDE THE DOS OBJECTION ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS12
POINT II	
THE SECRETARY SHOULD NOT OVERRIDE THE DOS OBJECTION ON “GROUND I” BECAUSE MILLENNIUM HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF DEMONSTRATING THE PIPELINE’S CONSISTENCY WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE CZMA	14
A. FERC’s Certificate Cannot Serve As A Basis For Demonstrating The Pipeline’s Consistency With The CZMA	15
The Secretary Does Not Defer To Agency Decisions15
2. FERC Issued The Certificate In Violation Of The CZMA.....16
3. The FERC Certificate Is On Appeal17
B. Millennium Cannot Satisfy 15 C.F.R. § 930.121(a) Because The Pipeline Does Not Further A National Interest In A Significant Or Substantial Manner	18
1. Although The Pipeline Is A “Major Energy Facility,” It Would Not Promote The National Interest In A “Significant Or Substantial Manner” Given The Threat Of Terrorism And Lack Of Documented Supply And Demand	18

a.	The “National Interest” Is Not Static And Must Account For Terrorist Threats To Energy Infrastructure..	19
b.	No Energy Self-Sufficiency Or Proven Demand For The Pipeline's Gas.....	..20
c.	No Proven Supply24
2.	The Pipeline Will Not Promote Compatible Economic Development In The Coastal Zone	25
3.	The Pipeline Will Not Protect Coastal Resources	25
C.	Millennium Cannot Satisfy 15 C.F.R. § 930.121(b) Because, Even If The Pipeline Furthers A National Interest In A Significant Or Substantial Manner, That Interest Does Not Outweigh Its Adverse Coastal Effects.....	..26
1.	Any Benefits Of The Pipeline Are Speculative And Conclusory26
2.	The Pipeline Will Cause Documented Adverse Effects In The Coastal Zone27
	Effects Caused By Unstudied Blasting In Haverstraw Bay.	27
b.	Effects Caused By Threatening the ConEd Power Lines28
c.	Effects To Coastal-Zone Citizens Living Along The ConEd Right Of Way.....30
d.	Effects Caused By Interfering With The Indian Point Evacuation Plan33
e.	Effects On The New York City Coastal Zone34
f.	Effects To New York City’s Water Supply Due To The Bryn Mawr Crossing35
3.	The Pipeline’s Speculative Benefits Do Not Outweigh Its Documented Adverse Effects.....36
D.	The Pipeline’s Route Through The New Croton Reservoir Watershed Is Inconsistent With The CZMA Because It Violates The CWA	36

1	The New Croton Reservoir Watershed37
2	Water Quality Standard For Phosphorus In The New Croton Reservoir37
3	The New Croton Reservoir Phosphorus TMDL	...38
4.	Undisputed CWA Violations40
5	Inconsistency With The CZMA.	.40
E.	Millennium Cannot Satisfy 15 C.F.R. § 930.121(c) Because There Are Reasonable Alternatives To The Pipeline That Would Permit The Identical Interests To Be Served In A Manner That Is Consistent With The State's Coastal Management Program43
 POINT III		
	THE SECRETARY SHOULD NOT OVERRIDE THE DOS OBJECTION ON “GROUND II” BECAUSE MILLENNIUM HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF DEMONSTRATING THAT THE PIPELINE IS NECESSARY IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY	..46
	CONCLUSION.	..48