

**ORIGINAL**

Mitchell Stern  
24 Park Woods Lane  
Kings Park, NY 11754  
631-663-3335, [marciann@optonline.net](mailto:marciann@optonline.net)

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54-000

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV - 2 P 11:18  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY  
COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a resident of Long Island and am very concerned about the Broadwater issue. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the sound and could very seriously pose a security risk to this area of New York.

I am very interested in your position and would love a response in writing to either my postal address or email. It is important that the opinions of citizens/ residents be taken into consideration since it is our safety and security at stake and our tax money will be used to fund this project.

Sincerely,



Mitchell Stern

Marci Stern  
24 Park Woods Lane  
Kings Park, NY 11754  
631-663-3335, [marciann@optonline.net](mailto:marciann@optonline.net)

2006 NOV -2 P 4:10  
RECEIVED THE  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a resident of Long Island and am very concerned about the Broadwater issue. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the sound and could very seriously pose a security risk to this area of New York.

I am very interested in your position and would love a response in writing to either my postal address or email. It is important that the opinions of citizens/ residents be taken into consideration since it is our safety and security at stake and our tax money will be used to fund this project.

Sincerely,



Marci Stern

Nina Stern  
24 Park Woods Lane  
Kings Park, NY 11754  
631-663-3335, [marciann@optonline.net](mailto:marciann@optonline.net)

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

1006 OCT 23 2 P 4: 48

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a resident of Long Island and am very concerned about the Broadwater issue. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the sound and could very seriously pose a security risk to this area of New York.

I am very interested in your position and would love a response in writing to either my postal address or email. It is important that the opinions of citizens/ residents be taken into consideration since it is our safety and security at stake and our tax money will be used to fund this project.

Sincerely,



Nina Stern

David Stern  
24 Park Woods Lane  
Kings Park, NY 11754  
631-663-3335, [marciann@optonline.net](mailto:marciann@optonline.net)

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

2006 NOV - 2 P 11 48  
RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a resident of Long Island and am very concerned about the Broadwater issue. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the sound and could very seriously pose a security risk to this area of New York.

I am very interested in your position and would love a response in writing to either my postal address or email. It is important that the opinions of citizens/ residents be taken into consideration since it is our safety and security at stake and our tax money will be used to fund this project.

Sincerely,



David Stern

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Wienckowski  
311 Rose Lane  
Smithtown, New York 11787

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 42

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

October 25, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 43  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06 34

Dear Ms. Salas:

We would like you to know that we feel Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Long Island already is too expensive to live in, and the only reason why we put up with the expense is because of our beautiful waters. More and more people are moving away because of the expenses; please do not add another reason.

Sincerely,



Matthew Wienckowski

Wienckowski  
311 Rose Lane  
Smithtown, New York 11787

RECEIVED THE  
COMMUNITY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4:43  
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

October 25, 2006


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

We would like you to know that we feel Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Long Island already is too expensive to live in, and the only reason why we put up with the expense is because of our beautiful waters. More and more people are moving away because of the expenses; please do not add another reason.

Sincerely,



Anne Marie Wienckowski

MAGALIE R. SACAS

CP06-54

I believe Broadwater is too costly,  
Risky and not needed in the Sound and  
the constant armed private security disrupts  
the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

*[Handwritten signature]*

ROBERT TUFFEL

143 Lee Ave

Hicksville NY 11801

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 3:53

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

John and Linda Baird  
23 Burr Ave.  
Kings Park, NY, 11754

RECEIVED THE  
2006 NOV -2 P 11:10  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To Whom it may concern,

Concerning the environment around our homes, the pollution and over use of our land and water.  
I appose Broadwater.

It is too costly and environmentally risky. It will disrupt the LI Sound and it's environment as one more pollutant in our surroundings. It's bad for us environmentally as well as financially.

Please say no to it.

John Baird  
Linda Baird



FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

CP06-54

October 24, 2006

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 09

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

The Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG Barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Sincerely,

Nicholas

Jensen

88 Eagle Lane  
Harppauge, NY 11788

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

CP06-54

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 09

October 24, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

The Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG Barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Sincerely,  
David Jensen  
David Jensen  
88 Edge Lane  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

10/23/06

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

X Laura Bisono  
Laura Bisono

X Arturo Bisono  
Arturo Bisono

66 Holiday Park Drive  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

2006 NOV -2 P 11:09  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 11

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

The Long Island Sound does

not need a costly, risky,

LIS Barge. The frangible barge

olland Sound would be proven

ruined by private armed

security forces surrounding the

barge.

Sincerely,

Eric Jensen

Eric Jensen

88 Eagle Lane

Hempstead, NY 11788

CP06-54

Dated 2/1/2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

CP06-54

October 24, 2006

2006 NOV -2 P 4:11

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

TO Whom It May Concern:

The Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG Barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Sincerely,

STEVEN  
WENSEN

88 Eagle Lane  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 11

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Marcus F. Arasmo**  
**178 Hickory Lane**  
**Smithtown, NY 11787**

*CP06-54*

October 25, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Rm # 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Please be advised that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound. Furthermore, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Marcus F. Arasmo

Cc: CCE @ Farmingdale

Magalie R Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St NE rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

*CP06-54*

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

*Nick Olnowich*

72 Winnecomac Circle  
Kings Park, NY 11754

Magalie R Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St NE rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.



72 Winnecomac Circle  
Kings Park, NY 11754

Magalie R Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St NE rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4:11  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP04-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

*Kayla Olmowich*

72 Winnecomac Circle  
Kings Park, NY 11754

October 23, 2006

*CP06-54*

To whom it may concern,

I am opposed to the industrialization of the LIS with the introduction of Broadwater. It would unnecessarily disrupt the tranquil waters of the Sound and change the environment of our local fishermen and recreational boating. The beauty and private usage of Long Island waters should not be compromised by the security surrounding the LNG Barge.

Citizens of LI are bombarded with the ever rising cost of living and rampant industrialization. We do not need the costly and inappropriate disruption to our estuary. Do not set this precedent and jeopardize the future of our waters.

Sincerely,

*Rebecca Catalano*

Rebecca Catalano  
31 Burr Avenue  
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

October 23, 2006

*CP06-54*

To whom it may concern,

I am opposed to the industrialization of the LIS with the introduction of Broadwater. It would unnecessarily disrupt the tranquil waters of the Sound and change the environment of our local fishermen and recreational boating. The beauty and private usage of Long Island waters should not be compromised by the security surrounding the LNG Barge.

Citizens of LI are bombarded with the ever rising cost of living and rampant industrialization. We do not need the costly and inappropriate disruption to our estuary. Do not set this precedent and jeopardize the future of our waters.

Sincerely,

*Sarah Catalano*

Sarah Catalano  
31 Burr Avenue  
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

October 23, 2006

*CP06-54*

To whom it may concern,

I am opposed to the industrialization of the LIS with the introduction of Broadwater. It would unnecessarily disrupt the tranquil waters of the Sound and change the environment of our local fishermen and recreational boating. The beauty and private usage of Long Island waters should not be compromised by the security surrounding the LNG Barge.

Citizens of LI are bombarded with the ever rising cost of living and rampant industrialization. We do not need the costly and inappropriate disruption to our estuary. Do not set this precedent and jeopardize the future of our waters.

Sincerely,

*Carol Catalano*  
Carol Catalano  
31 Burr Avenue  
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

18 Robert Circle  
Syosset, NY 11791

October 26, 2006

Magalie R. Salas

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 13  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

*CP06-54*

To Magalie R. Salas:

I am writing you because I am concerned about the Broadwater. As you know, Broadwater is a proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas terminal in the middle of the Sound, approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point.

I believe, along with other environmentalists, that the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. If passed, the tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge. Additionally, would set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Please, use your efforts to stop the Broadwater proposal.

Sincerely,

*Alexandra Lundy*  
Alexandra Lundy

To: Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, Risky and is NOT needed.  
in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security  
disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.


Keith Matos  
Keith Matos  
47 Marvin Dr.  
Kings Park, NY. 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 14: 14  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St NE rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

*CP06-54*

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.



72 Winnecomac Circle  
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 14  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

*CP06-54*

I am writing you to express my disapproval of the proposed Broadwater plan for the Long Island Sound. Broadwater is too costly, risky and over-all, is not needed in the Sound. The constant armed private security needed will disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. It will also, for first time in history, limit public access for those who use the Sound.

-Robert Hamilton  
41 Carlson Avenue  
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 15  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie Salas

CP06-54

The Broadwater is too costly, risky  
and not needed on the Sound  
& the constant armed private  
Security disrupts the peaceful tranquil  
waters of the Sound

Sincerely, Claude Fox

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 20

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission  
888 First Street, N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED IN THE  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV - 2 P 11:21  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

Please take the letter into consideration when making your decision regarding Broadwater. Broadwater is without question a bad idea for the Long Island Sound, its indigenous species and surrounding communities. It is too costly, too risky and in not needed in our Sound. Our tranquil, calm waters would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed security forces surrounding the barge. Please help our Long Island community to stay as is, without the unnecessary industrialization of building a gas barge off our coast. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

*Jannine M. Corso*  
Jannine M. Corso

271 Lilac Lane  
Smithtown, NY 11787

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission  
888 First Street, N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 26  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

Please take the letter into consideration when making your decision regarding Broadwater. Broadwater is without question a bad idea for the Long Island Sound, its indigenous species and surrounding communities. It is too costly, too risky and in not needed in our Sound. Our tranquil, calm waters would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed security forces surrounding the barge. Please help our Long Island community to stay as is, without the unnecessary industrialization of building a gas barge off our coast. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

*John T. Corso, SVM*

John T. Corso  
271 Lilac Lane  
Smithtown, NY 11787

October 27, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 11:27  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Re: Broadwater Project

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

After reviewing reports and newspaper articles both for and against the Long Island Sound Broadwater Project, I am convinced that it would be a grave mistake to undertake this. I feel it would become both an environmental threat to the area's myriad wildlife as well as a significantly negative impact to commercial shipping and recreational boating in the area.

Furthermore, it would be too costly to justify the unnecessary need for another pipeline when there are other LNG resources available.

Finally, in the post-9/11 America in which we dwell, it would be too easy a target for those entities that fervently seek to undermine our way of life with acts of terrorism.

Please do all in your power to reject this proposal.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christopher McFee  
92A Park Hill Avenue  
Massapequa, NY 11758

Magalie R. Sabas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Rm. 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 NOV -2 P 4: 27  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

I object to the proposal called 'Broadwater' which would site a liquid natural gas facility in the waters of the Long Island Sound. The Sound is a Long Island treasure available to boaters and fishermen. I object to the industrialization of the Sound, and the possible safety problems which would accompany the Broadwater barge. I have included my address if you wish to respond stating your position.

Sincerely,  
Adele Manso  
94 Wending Road  
N. Massapequa, N.Y. 11758  
(Homeowner 48 years)

CP06-54

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
October 26, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 38

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas,

I would like to voice my opinion against the Broadwater project.

Based on personal experience, I am familiar with the Con Edison's LNG plant located in Astoria, NY. It is an under-estimate to say that if that plant ever exploded, it would wipe out Astoria. Applying the same radius to the LNG facility, an explosion would cause damage to both the coastlines of Long Island and Connecticut.

Placing an LNG facility in the middle of Long Island Sound would completely change the complexion of the waterway. The tranquility of the sound would be ruined forever. This area is a major recreational area for boaters and fisherman. The security required to protect such a facility and its associated tankers from associated risks would greatly limit the accessibility of the natural waterway to both recreational and commercial vessels.

In addition, the costs involved with the operation are not worth the risks involved. Long Island Sound does not need this facility. The additional cost of security and emergency capabilities in case of an accident are too costly.

This project will turn into another Shoreham.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue and what action you are taking regarding this risky project.

Sincerely yours,



Neil Foehrenbach  
7 Edward Lane  
Syosset, NY 11791

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 39

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

*CP06-54*

Madam,

I am writing this letter to you to express my disapproval relating to the Broadwater Project in Long Island Sound, New York. I am a recreational fisherman in Long Island Sound and use this body of water several times weekly. The last thing this beautiful body of water needs is an LNG Tanker and storage facility right smack in the middle of it.

Broadwater is too costly a project, and exposes Long Islanders to unnecessary risks and dangers. The Long Island Sound would never be the same and would forever be disrupted by this barge.

If we, you and I allow this project to go forward it sets a dangerous precedent of industrialization of Long Island Sound. I live here and boat on these waters and I do not want this project to go forward.

Please respond to this correspondence in writing to me informing me of exactly what your position is on this matter at the below listed address.

Thank You,

John G. Trotter  
21 Raleigh Lane  
Kings park, N.Y. 11754

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street N.E. Rm. 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

*CP06-54*

10/23/2006

2006 NOV -2 P 4: 41

Dear Magalie R. Salas

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

I would like to advise you of my objection to the proposed "Broadwater" natural gas storage terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound.

Aside from the fact that it is too costly, this facility would take away public access to waters enjoyed by leisure boaters and fishermen. The Long Island Sound is not a large enough body of water to justify a major area for industry.

I believe this is risky for the environment and not needed. The tanker traffic and security will certainly detract from and put at risk environmentally the use of this beautiful body of water.

Sincerely,



Robert J. Braun

*61 9th Ave.  
Kings Park N.Y. 11754*

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street N.E. Rm. 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4:41

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

10/23/2006

Dear Magalie R. Salas

I would like to advise you of my objection to the proposed "Broadwater" natural gas storage terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound.

Aside from the fact that it is too costly, this facility would take away public access to waters enjoyed by leisure boaters and fishermen. The Long Island Sound is not a large enough body of water to justify a major area for industry.

I believe this is risky for the environment and not needed. The tanker traffic and security will certainly detract from and put at risk environmentally the use of this beautiful body of water.

Sincerely,



Paula Braun

61 9th Ave  
Kings Park  
NY 11754

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission  
888 First st, N.E, RM 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4:41

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To whom it may concern,  
I just want you to know that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Thank you very much for your time and concern in this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard Alzoro Jr  
95 Michigan Ave  
Mass Nj 0758

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission  
888 First st, N.E., RM 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -2 P 4:41

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To whom it may concern,  
I just want you to know that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and  
not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts  
the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Thank you very much for your  
time and concern in this matter.

Sincerely,

*Alfredo*  
*95 Michiguan Ave*  
*Masso Rye NJ 0788*