

ORIGINAL

12/4/06

CP06-54-000

TO: MAGALIE R. SALAS  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Re: Broadwater Safety & Security

We lived on Long Island all  
whole life and raised by the  
Long Island Sound. I feel Broadwater  
is too costly, risky and not  
needed in the Sound & the constant  
armed private security disrupts the  
peaceful tranquility of the Sound.  
It would raise our taxes further  
and we pay too much now!

Very truly yours,  
A. Andrew A. HORAN  
329 ASTOR AVE, ST. JAMES, N.Y.

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 27 10 33

Maury A. Horan

11780

(Citizens Campaign for the  
Environment)

**ORIGINAL**

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:40  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

Concerning the Broadwater Crisis:

Broadwater is too costly and risky in the Sound. It is imperative that this atrocity waiting to happen not be allowed by law, for the people have little voice against corporate bullying. There are many who aren't even aware of the threat that this LNG terminal poses.

The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility restricts public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing of the Long Island Sound. Please use your official position to fight for the voiceless against this potentially harmful situation.

A Concerned Citizen,



Oren Misholy  
7 Birdseye Circle  
Stony Brook, NY, 11790

Post Script- Please send a response in writing, informing me of your position on this issue.

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:40  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54000

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

December 4, 2006


Dear Madam:

I believe that we should not be industrializing the Long Island Sound. As a young person I used to see dolphins in the Sound. Regularly, this happened, no longer! It sets a dangerous precedent when you limit public access.

Also, Broadwater does not belong in the Sound. It is too costly and risky and not needed. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil water of the Sound and is not something the people should pay for.

I would like to know your position on this subject. Please respond at your earliest to the address below. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



Patricia Ranieri  
83 Astor Avenue  
St. James, New York 11780

**ORIGINAL**

Dec 05, 2006

Ref: Broadwater Safety CP06-54-000

Att. Magalie R. Salas

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:39  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

with ref to the above. I feel that the  
Broadwater is too risky and ~~is~~<sup>is</sup> not needed in the  
Long Island Sound. It will be costly for the  
tax payers and we should look for other ways of  
supplying Liquefied Natural gas to the area.

We don't need this situation in our tranquil  
waters of the sound and would need security all  
the time.

Sincerely  
Roger C. Zoll

ROGER C. ZOLL  
26 Elderwood Dr.  
St. James, Ny 11780

**ORIGINAL**

CP06 54-000

**Broadwater is too costly,risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.**

*Joseph A. Swan*  
205 N. Elm St.  
Massapequa, NY

2006 DEC 12 P 2:30  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**ORIGINAL**

CP06-54-000

December 5, 2006

To: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, NE  
Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:36  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

Ms Magalie Salas:

I am writing to say that I am strongly against placing a liquefied natural gas facility in Long Island Sound. The facility will result in an increase in taxes, which are already the highest in the nation causing many long time residents to move away.

In addition, the facility will create the potential for danger in an area that is already at risk due to its proximity to NYC. This facility will disrupt the lives of many who live on the Island and I do not support this venture.

Sincerely  
Renata Foppiano  
Renata Foppiano

**ORIGINAL**


CP06-54-000

December 06, 2006

Dear Magalie R. Salas

Brightwater is too costly, risky and not needed in The Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 12 P 2:38

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CP06-54-000

Dear Ms. Salas,

I am writing to voice my concern about the Broadwater project that is threatening to both endanger and exploit the people of Long Island. I am a resident of Stony Brook, NY, located directly on the Long Island Sound. Like many other residents here, I have grown up thinking of The Sound as a safe, publicly shared place to relax and recreate. This may all change because of Broadwater. In the name of corporate interest, an area that myself and many other Long Islanders call home, may one day in the near future contain Liquid Natural Gas terminals that create the chance for combustible vapor leaks over a large area, set anti-public boundaries in open water that will be protected by large arms, and will ultimately become a large burden for us, the taxpayers.

The Coast Guard has responsibly announced that it does not have the resources to provide protection and potential damage control for this type of endeavor in a body of water that is already at risk without it. This weight would fall on us, but I can assure you that no amount of tax dollars will restore the peace of mind that will be taken away by allowing the Broadwater Project into our home.

On behalf of my family, and my fellow Long Island residents, I implore you please keep our interests in mind and say no to Broadwater. We would like to keep our small part of this world beautiful if we can.

Thank You For Your Time

Sincerely,  
Christopher Lai

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:38  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

CP 06-54-006

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 12 P 2:33

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

December 5, 2006

**Magalie R. Salas**  
**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**  
**888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A**  
**Washington, DC 20426**  
**RE: Broadwater LNG Terminal Proposed for Long Island Sound**

As a Long Island resident, I am writing to voice my opposition to the Broadwater proposal to place a Liquid Natural Gas terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound. This sounds like a bad idea to me for numerous reasons. It would set a precedent for industrializing the Sound, a fragile and valuable natural ecosystem already under stress. There are also safety issues which I do not believe could be adequately managed, given the high population concentrations both here in Long Island and in Connecticut.

Please let me know your position on this issue. My address is below. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



**Mary P. Wueste**  
**20 Mosshill Place**  
**Stony Brook, NY 11790**

CP 06-54-000

 ORIGINAL

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 12 P 2:33

December 5, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission  
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

RE: Broadwater LNG Terminal Proposed for Long Island Sound

Dear Magalie R. Salas:

I am writing in opposition to the proposal to place a Liquid Natural Gas terminal in the Long Island Sound. With the dense population of LI and Connecticut, I fear this would worsen the situation for fisherman, boaters, and others in my area. And it is in my opinion, unnecessary, and far too costly.

Sincerely,



Catherine Wueste  
20 Mosshill Place  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

47 Astor Avenue  
Saint James, New York 11780  
December 4, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2 32  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Magalie R. Salas**  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

As a concerned citizen of Long Island, I am opposed to the danger and disruption to the Long Island Sound that Broadwater would pose. As boaters, our waters would be forever influenced by the presence of the armed private security forces surrounding the barges. And, as a taxpayer, I would not want to be responsible for this nor for any other company that would choose to follow the same path.

Respectfully,  
*Ronald W. White*  
Ronald W. White

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

99 Astor Ave.  
St. James, NY 11780  
December 4, 2006

2006 DEC 12 P 2:32

REGULATORY COMMENT

FREC  
888 First St, NE, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear M. R. Salas:

We would like to express our objections to the Broadwater project. It is too costly. Additionally, it is not needed in the Sound. The armed private security will disrupt the peaceful and tranquil waters of the Sound. The project will limit public access to the recreational features provided by the Sound. It will also set a dangerous precedent of future industrialization and development of the Sound.

Yours truly,  
*Paul Brienza*  
*Janet Brienza*  
Paul and Janet Brienza

**ORIGINAL**

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Rm  
Washington D.C. 20426

*CP 06-54-000*

Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



John Ripa  
48 Bonnie Lane  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:36  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

**ORIGINAL**

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington D.C. 20426

*CP06-54-000*

Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,

*Michael Ripa*

Michael Ripa Jr.  
48 Bonnie Lane  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:35  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

**ORIGINAL**


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington D.C. 20426

*CP06-54-000*

Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Eileen Ripa  
48 Bonnie Lane  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:35  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20585

**ORIGINAL**

December 6, 2006

To Whom It May Concern: *CP06-54-000*

**Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. In addition, taxes on Long Island are already too high and we do not need any greater financial burden placed on the taxpayer,**



**Dennis Donnelly  
45 Annandale Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:35  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

**ORIGINAL**


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington D.C. 20426

*CP06-54-000*

Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Michael Ripa  
48 Bonnie Lane  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:35  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

 ORIGINAL

2006 DEC 12 P 2:34

11 Annandale Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790  
December 6, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

*CP06-54-000*


Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

.Dear Magalie R. Salas:

I believe that the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky. LNG barge.  
The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by the armed security forces  
surrounding the barge. The Broadwater project is too costly, risky and not needed in the  
Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the  
Sound.

I respectfully request that you do whatever you can to see that this "Broadwater" project  
does not take place in the Long Island Sound.

Very truly yours,



Mary D. Berretta

**ORIGINAL**

December 6, 2006

To Whom It May Concern: *CP06-54-000*

**Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. In addition, taxes on Long Island are already too high and we do not need any greater financial burden placed on the taxpayer.**

*Kerry Donnelly*  
Kerry Donnelly  
45 Annandale Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:34  
www.ferc.gov

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 12 P 2:34

11 Annandale Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790  
December 6, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

*CP06-54-000*

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

.Dear Magalie R. Salas:

I believe that the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky. LNG barge.  
The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by the armed security forces  
surrounding the barge. The Broadwater project is too costly, risky and not needed in the  
Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the  
Sound.

I respectfully request that you do whatever you can to see that this "Broadwater" project  
does not take place in the Long Island Sound.

Very truly yours,



Vincent A. Berretta



**ORIGINAL**

4 Annandale Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790  
December 6, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

*CP06-54-000*

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
DEC 12 P 2:34  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Commissioner,

I am writing about the Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal that is going to be built in the Long Island Sound. I don't like the idea of it because it scares me. If it were a good thing, why would it need armed boats to guard it? Can't we just put up windmills instead?

Sincerely,

*Diana Gonsalves*

Diana Gonsalves  
7<sup>th</sup> Grade

**ORIGINAL**

12-06-06

CP06-54-000

Margie R. Jolas  
J.E.R.C.

998 First St. N.E. Rm 1A  
Washington D.C. 20126

FILED  
RECEIVED BY THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:34  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

To Whom It May Concern:

In my opinion, Crosswater is too costly and expensive. It is also risky and unnecessary in the Sound. The presence of constant armed security is disruptive to the peaceful waters of the Sound.

Sincerely  
June Berger  
June Berger  
& Blueberry Inc.  
Stony Brook NY 11790

12-06-06

**ORIGINAL**

CP06-54-000

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:31  
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

M.R. Salsa  
F.E.R.C.  
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1A  
Washington D.C. 20426

Dear Sir

I feel that Broadwater is too costly and risky, The presence of constant armed security is disruptive to the peaceful waters of the sound.

Sincerely  
*L. Berger*  
L. Berger  
6 Blueberry Ln.  
Stony Brook, N.Y. 11790

**ORIGINAL**

12/5/06

CP06-54-000

To: Magalie R. Salas

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., RM 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:34  
REGULATORY SERVICES

We believe Broadwater is too costly, risky & not needed in the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. We believe Broadwater is a bad idea & sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the L.I Sound.

Please reconsider any support you may be lending this bad idea.

Sincerely,

A. Celano, M. Celano, S. Celano  
& Samantha Celano.

AnnMarie Celano & Matthew Celano  
Sara & Samantha Celano  
7 Hillstream Lane  
Stony Brook, NY 11790

**ORIGINAL**

*CP06-54-000*

47 Astor Avenue  
Saint James, New York 11780  
December 4, 2006


FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 DEC 12 P 2:34  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

As a concerned citizen of Long Island, I am opposed to the danger and disruption to the Long Island Sound that Broadwater would pose. As boaters, our waters would be forever influenced by the presence of the armed private security forces surrounding the barges. And, as a taxpayer, I would not want to be responsible for this nor for any other company that would choose to follow the same path.

Respectfully,



Barbara White

**ORIGINA!**

*CP-06-54-000*

**Donna Morrison MS OTR**  
**RDI ® Program Certified Consultant**  
**24 Annandale Rd.**  
**Stony Brook, NY 11790**  
**(631) 675-0307**

2006 DEC 12 P 2:38  
RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

**December 6, 2006**  
**Two Greenway Plaza**  
**145 Pine Lawn Rd**  
**Suite 300N**  
**Melville, NY 11747**

**Dear Hon. Charles Schumer,**

***I am a lifelong resident of Long Island, NY. I am strongly opposed to the Broadwater venture proposed for the Long Island Sound. It is too costly, risky and not needed. The constant armed security would disrupt the peaceful and tranquil waters of the sound. Broadwater would limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Please inform me in writing of your position on this issue.***  
**Thank you.**

**Sincerely,**  
*Noelle Morrison*  
**Noelle Morrison**

Tara Siri

ORIGINAL

CP-06-54-000 12/4/06

To the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and related officials:

RECEIVED  
SECRETARY  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
DEC 20 2006

Please respect the fact that the proposed Broadwater liquid natural gas terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound is too dangerous, too costly, and is not needed. This would seriously disrupt the tranquil waters of the Sound that we thoroughly enjoy as residents of the North Shore of Long Island. The constant presence of armed security forces surrounding the barge would completely change the environment and landscape of the Sound, where our children swim and collect shells and residents savor the calm and peaceful surroundings.

Please seriously consider this  
when making a final decision  
on this LNG terminal.

Thank you,  
Lara M. Siri  
1 Storybook Lane  
St. James, NY  
11780