

**Subject: millenium pipeline**  
**Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov**  
**Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 11:58:41 EDT**  
**From: <Cajetan88@aol.com>**  
**To: millenium.comments@noaa.gov**  
**CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov**

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this

appeal based on the merits.  
Sincerely,  
Eileen Mandell

**Subject: Millennium project**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Tue, 17 Sep 2002 14:25:30 -0400

**From:** Ken Vigiletti <kenv@dimcom.com>

**To:** "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

\* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

\* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

\* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

\* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast

region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Ken Vigiletti  
30 Applebee Farm Rd  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millenium protest**  
**Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov**  
**Date: Tue, 24 Sep 2002 19:35:35 EDT**  
**From: <Boblorrie4@aol.com>**  
**To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov**

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.  
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*  
Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We

look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Lorrie Fetonti  
159 Larch Road  
Briarcliff, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act.**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 25 Sep 2002 14:30:40 -0400

**From:** "JOEL GUTMAN" <GUTMANJ@hrprep.com>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 25, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look

forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing  
We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal  
based on the merits.

Sincerely,  
Joel Gutman  
52 Chester Court  
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millennium pipeline**  
**Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov**  
**Date: Wed, 25 Sep 2002 15:57:46 EDT**  
**From: <Lydiabico@aol.com>**  
**To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov**  
**CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov**

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Lydia Bicocchi

(no subject)

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 9 Oct 2002 19:59:33 EDT

**From:** <TheKikMan@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,  
Kiki & Judy Bielory  
38 Maple Moor Lane  
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

(no subject)

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 10 Oct 2002 16:16:33 EDT

**From:** <Paq0264@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York. The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built: within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone) within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions. There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,  
Martin Vuksanaj