

Subject: Millennium Pipeline
Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 10:21:55 -0400
From: Garrett van Ryzin <gjv1@columbia.edu>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County,

9/17/2002 11:07 AM

one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Garrett J. van Ryzin
26 Taconic Road
Millwood, NY 10546

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 10:05:59 EDT

From: <Kevinhunt17@aol.com>

To: devans@doc.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast

region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,
Kevin Hunt
17 Hungerford Road
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510
914-762-5517
kevinhunt17@aol.com

Subject:

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 09:30:17 -0400

From: "JOE AFFRONTE" <affronte@cecomet.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New

York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

JOSEPH M. AFFRONTE JR.
69 WEST MAIN STREET
RIPLEY, NY 14775-0076

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline project
Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 09:25:59 -0400
From: <mcenma@consumer.org>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,
Marc McEntee

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject:

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 12:50:56 +0000

From: "Richard Szkodzinski" <rszkodzi@hotmail.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov, gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the

opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Richard Szkodzinski
1 Fox Run Rd
Croton-On-Hudson, NY 10520

Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: <http://mobile.msn.com>

Subject: No Subject
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 08:46:03 EDT
From: <Chorene65@aol.com>
To: devans@doc.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County,

please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Renee Cho
65 Whitson Rd.
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline Administrative Appeal

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 08:38:20 -0400

From: "Glaser" <d.l.glaser@worldnet.att.net>

Organization: Beadangled.com

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Dani Glaser
14 Westminster Drive
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520
914-736-1322

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millenium Pipeline Project

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 05:13:49 -0700 (PDT)

From: Shiho Fujii <fujiish@yahoo.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002
<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, ~~one of protecting politicians, not citizens.~~ As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Shiho Fujii

9 Marian Place

Millwood, NY 10546

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! News - Today's headlines

Subject: Against The Millenium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 04:47:52 -0400

From: "Admin" <Admin@marketmanipulators.com>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone) within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

James Hannity
100 Hardscrabble Road
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

Subject: To Secretary Evans
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 03:29:48 EDT
From: <DRFLEYE@aol.com>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look

forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

David Kahn
2 Summerland Lane
Briarcliff Manor, N.Y. 10510

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 11:03:21 -0400

From: "Helene Kalmanson Epstein" <nogenre@att.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Helene Epstein
359 Long Hill Road East
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject:

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 11:36:10 -0400

From: <bropme@consumer.org>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the

opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Meta A. Brophy
1449 Washington St.
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: FW:
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 12:16:03 -0400
From: <bropme@consumer.org>
To: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Brophy, Meta
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2002 11:36 AM
To: 'millennium.comments@noaa.gov'
Subject:

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

9/18/2002 10:16 AM

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Meta A. Brophy
1449 Washington St.
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: No Subject

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:24:04 EDT

From: <Piccolom@aol.com>

To: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov, devans@doc.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and

truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Michelle Piccolo Hill
2 Westminster Dr.
Croton, NY 10520

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 12:36:30 -0400

From: <Marie229@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this

appeal based on the merits

Sincerely,

Raquel M. Bennett
336 Nuber Ave
Mt. Vernon, NY 10553

Subject: Supporting Coastal Zone Management Act
Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 12:41:39 -0400
From: "Ron Marans" <rmarans@bestweb.net>
To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin

the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Ronald Marans, Croton-on-Hudson NY

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millenium Pipeline project
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 13:18:08 EDT
From: <FBA20@aol.com>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Felicia Anzel

11 Fox Run Road
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

cc: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Subject: pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 13:39:22 -0400
From: <abertoncini@hearst.com>
To: devans@doc.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed.

You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment.

We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and

Westchester County.

For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Astrid O. Bertoncini
15 Amanda Ct.
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

=====
This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message.

If you have received this communication in error, please notify the Hearst Information Services HelpDesk (helpdesk@hearst.com) immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.
=====

Subject: PLEASE STOP THE MILLENNIUM PIPELINE

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 14:11:25 -0400

From: <Harvey_Karp@candle.com>

To: devans@doc.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with

FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Harvey Karp
7 Sassi Drive
Croton-Hudson, New York 19520

New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject:

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 18:16:03 +0000

From: <ekravitz@att.net>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with

FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Elliot Kravitz
24 Sky Top Drive
Croton on Hudson, NY 10520

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 09:42:30 -0400

From: "ludwig" <ludid@bestweb.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcoss.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is

investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Ludwig Datene
1449 Washington St.
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 22:59:02 -0400

From: Leigh Giusti <leighgiusti@att.net>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,
Leigh McArthur-Giusti
3 Buckhout Road
Briarcliff Manor, New York
10510
leighgiusti@att.net

Subject:

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:04:22 -0400

From: "Fein, Janis" <jfein@unitedmedia.com>

To: "devans@doc.gov" <devans@doc.gov>

CC: "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline

administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Janis Fein
Ossining, New York

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 13:58:05 -0400

From: <danaweg@attglobal.net>

To: millenium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 18, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to

(no subject)

file an application with FERC by the end of 2002
that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Dana Wegman
43 Overlook Road
Ossining, NY 10562

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 14:19:03 -0400

From: "Anton, Mark" <MAnton@us.loreal.com>

To: "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the

Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Mark F. Anton

40 Lakeview Road

North Salem, NY 10560

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

This message and any attachments (hereunder the « message ») are confidential and intended solely for the addressees. If you receive this message in error, please delete it and immediately notify the sender. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized use, copying or dissemination is prohibited. E-mails are susceptible to alteration. Neither L'OREAL nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates shall be liable for the message if altered, changed or falsified.

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 14:20:34 -0400

From: "Josh Tane" <j.tane@verizon.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Josh Tane,

6 Fox Run Rd.

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: millenium pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 14:41:32 EDT
From: <QISScom@aol.com>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing

regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, ***please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m.*** We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Nora Johnson
63 Cottonwood Lane
Briarcliff Manor, New York 10510

Subject: Millenium Pipeline Appeal

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 15:09:09 EDT

From: <Mreichsca@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:
within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m.

We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,
Mark A. Reich, JD
1 Brooke Road
Bronxville, NY 10708

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 15:12:41 EDT

From: <MarkSiesel@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

(no subject)

Sincerely,

Mark Siesel
12 Maple Court
Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

Subject:

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 15:13:23 -0400

From: "Klink, Bernd" <bklink@commerzbank-usa.com>

To: "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Bernd Klink

130 Teatown Road

Croton , NY 10520

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

This communication is confidential and is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not that person you are not permitted to make use of the information and you are requested to notify Commerzbank Aktiengesellschaft, New York Branch immediately that you have received it and then to destroy the copy in your possession. Views expressed in this e-mail do not necessarily reflect the views of Commerzbank AG.

Subject: PIPELINE**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov**Date:** Tue, 17 Sep 2002 15:59:09 -0400**From:** <granpa@consumer.org>**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov**CC:** gcos.inquires@noaa.gov

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns =
 "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002
 <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans
 Office of the Secretary
 Room 5854
 U.S. Department of Commerce
 14th & Constitution Ave. NW
 Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
 - 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a

subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Paula Grant

56 So. 12th Avenue

Mount Vernon, NY 10550

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:02:26 EDT

From: <Dawnorza@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Dawn M. Orza
35 Tulip Rd.
Briarcliff Manor, N.Y. 10510

Subject: NYS DOS decision on millennium pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:29:27 EDT

From: <KVSJ50@aol.com>

To: MILLENNIUM.COMMENTS@noaa.gov

Â
Â
17, 2002

Â
Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Â
Dear Secretary Evans,
I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York. The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
 - within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
 - 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
 - through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
 - within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.
- There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.
Sincerely,
Â
Steven Kavee, 114 W. 86th Street, New York, NY 10024

*New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170
Â

Subject: supporting NYSDOS decision on Millenium Pipeline project

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:24:21 EDT

From: <KVSJ50@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Â

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Â

Â

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Â

Steven Kavee, 114 W. 86th Street, New York, NY 10024

*New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Â

Subject: Pipeline

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:36:41 -0400

From: <wrigma@consumer.org>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- * within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- * within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- * 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- * through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- * within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We

sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Marion Wright
28 St. Paul's Place
Mt. Vernon, NY 10550

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Stop the Millenium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 16:42:14 -0400

From: Josh Wexler <josh@quovad.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov, Christine Wexler <Christine@Souler.com>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Josh & Christine Wexler

88 Schrade Rd.

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 17:38:07 EDT

From: <WASSYP@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians,

subject)

not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

***Paul and Nannette Wasserman
48 Peach Tree Lane
Briarcliff Manor, New York 10510
914-946-1800***

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: pipe-line
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 18:51:08 EDT
From: <Lynser@aol.com>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*
Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians,

not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Lynda Schettino

Subject: Millennium Pipeline
Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 19:46:44 -0400
From: "Beverly R. Shriver" <beverly@genesis2.com>
Organization: Genesis 2, Inc.
To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>
CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New

York City and Westchester residents

- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Bruce & Beverly Shriver

17 Bethea Drive

Ossining, NY 10562

(914) 762-8030

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 20:34:22 -0400

From: cecomets user <lovecats@cecomet.net>

To: <devans@doc.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Dale K Davis

5360 Wattlesburg Rd

Ripley, NY 14775

lovecats@cecomet.net

716-736-4782

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: (no subject)

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 21:23:59 EDT

From: <Lucky13XXX@aol.com>

To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*
Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians,

(no subject)

not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Larry Clarfeld
15 Quaker Ln.
Chappaqua NY, 10514

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Fwd: Millennium Pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 18:11:11 -0700 (PDT)
From: laurie salzberg <housesit@yahoo.com>
To: devans@doc.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

> September 17, 2002
>
> Secretary Donald L. Evans
> Office of the Secretary
> Room 5854
> U.S. Department of Commerce
> 14th & Constitution Ave. NW
> Washington, DC 20230
>
> Dear Secretary Evans,
>
> I am writing in support of the New York State
> Department of
> State decision
> on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the
> project
> inconsistent
> with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling
> properly
> recognized the
> devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson
> River and the
> New York City
> Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights
> by
> overriding this
> well thought out decision. This past spring, the
> Administration
> announced a
> plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based
> on a policy
> to
> protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy
> should be
> extended to the
> Governor and State of New York.
>
> The Secretary of Commerce should not override the
> New York State
> decision.
> In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson
> River, the
> Millennium
> project as currently planned seriously undermines
> our national
> security by
> building the pipeline in the midst of critical
> infrastructure
> that has
> never undergone a site study after 9/11. The
> pipeline would be
> built:
>

> a.. within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear
> Facility
> (placing it
> within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
> b.. within feet of the Con Edison transmission
> towers that
> supply 40% of
> New York City's electricity
> c.. 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
> d.. through the New Croton Reservoir that provides
> drinking
> water to
> millions of New York City and Westchester residents
> e.. within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in
> Yonkers that
> supplies 40%
> of New York City's drinking water.
> As I write, the New York City Department of
> Environmental
> Protection is
> investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install
> anti-terrorism
> measures to
> the New York City watershed. This protection is
> being added
> because New
> York City recognizes the vulnerability of this
> system and after
> extensive
> study has determined that this is necessary even in
> this
> fiscally
> constrained environment. We should not add
> additional risk to
> the vulnerable
> watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the
> heart of a
> system that supplies
> drinking water for millions.
>
> There are alternatives to this destructive route
> through the
> Hudson River
> and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee
> Gas Pipeline,
> a
> subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an
> application
> with FERC by the
> end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the
> Northeast region
> and New
> York City.*
> Your agency has had an open invitation since May
> from the
> Westchester
> County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding
> the
> Millennium Pipeline
> administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your
> decision to
> wait until
> after the November election has sent a negative

> message to the
> citizens of
> Westchester County, one of protecting politicians,
> not citizens.
> As a
> courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester
> County, please
> do not begin
> the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look
> forward to
> the
> opportunity to express our concerns about this
> project at the
> hearing. We
> sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening
> and truly
> judge this appeal
> based on the merits.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Laurie Salzberg
> 25 Mt. Airy Rd. #1-A
> Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520
>
> * New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! News - Today's headlines
> <http://news.yahoo.com>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! News - Today's headlines
<http://news.yahoo.com>

Subject: Millenium Pipeline Project

Resent-From: Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 21:51:01 -0400

From: "EJ Reiner" <mybrothere@worldnet.att.net>

To: <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

CC: <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

<?XML:NAMESPACE PREFIX = ST1 /><?XML:NAMESPACE PREFIX = O />

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Eric Reiner
18 Westminster Drive
Croton on Hudson, NY 10520

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: Millennium Pipeline
Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 22:36:47 EDT
From: <DCDCDC1@aol.com>
To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov
CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Mimi Cohen
19 Deertree Lane
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

Subject: About the Millennium Pipeline project

Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 17 Sep 2002 22:37:08 EDT

From: <SuperWC@aol.com>

To: Millennium.comments@noaa.gov

CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.*
Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Garrett Jewett