

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline project, NY**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 07:13:29 -0700 (PDT)

**From:** Leigh Druckenmiller <botulismld@yahoo.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 18, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leigh M. Druckenmiller

372 Central Park Ave.

Scarsdale, NY 10583

(914)472-3617

*(Replace with your name and address)*

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

*"...let us lay aside every weight, and the sin which doth so easily beset us, and let us run with endurance the race that is set before us, Looking unto Jesus the author and finisher of our faith. ..." - Hebrews 12:1*

---

Do you Yahoo!?

**Yahoo! News** - Today's headlines

**Subject:**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 08:41:04 -0400

**From:** "Nadesan, Sri" <sri.nadesan@wachovia.com>

**To:** "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Sri Nadesan, 10 Lewiston Court, Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov**

**Date: Wed, 18 Sep 2002 08:42:13 EDT**

**From: <Chrlaunal@aol.com>**

**To: millennium.comments@noaa.gov**

**CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov**

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin

(no subject)

the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely

Laura J. Malinowski

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline Project**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 09:28:11 -0400

**From:** "ROSE REINER" <rosereiner@hotmail.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

September 18, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions. There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Rose Reiner  
18 Westminster Drive  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

---

MSN Photos is the easiest way to share and print your photos:  
<http://photos.msn.com/support/worldwide.aspx>

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline project**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 12:15:49 -0400

**From:** Phyl Zekauskas <phylzee@earthlink.net>

**To:** Donald Evans <devans@doc.gov>

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed.

This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Phyllis and John Zekauskas  
1341 Spring Valley Road  
Ossining, NY 10562-1632

\*New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millenium pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 11:03:45 -0500

**From:** "Judi Meyer" <judi@rosenthaljcc.org>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave NW

Washington, DC 20230

Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and  
Westchester residents within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC

by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Judith Meyer  
496 Old Chappaqua Road  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

**Subject: Letter to Secretary Evans:**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 11:32:11 -0400

**From:** norman <norman@napny.com>

**Organization:** www.napny.com

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

Secretary Evans

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this

Letter to Secretary Evans:

project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

JANE CARTER  
JANE@NAPNY.COM

**Subject: No Subject**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 11:18:04 EDT

**From:** <fgjthree@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a

courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Freeborn G Jewett III

**Subject: Letter to Secretary Evans**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 10:33:33 -0400

**From:** "Kaufman, Michael T. (Tim)" <kaufmant@pw.utc.com>

**To:** "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Tim Kaufman  
6 Ridgeway Street  
Newington, CT 06111

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 10:18:28 -0400

**From:** "Diana Petrassi" <dpetrassi@blueridgelp.com>

**To:** <devans@doc.gov>

**CC:** <gc.os.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 18, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Avenue N.W.

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Richard Bello

22 Algonquian Trail

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: September 17, 2002**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 12:34:52 -0400

**From:** <Connie.Mak@avon.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 18 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S Department of Commerce

14 (superscript th) & Constitution Ave NW

Washington DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC

by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Connie Mak  
2 Canterbury Court  
Briarcliff Manor, NY, 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millenium Comments**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 07:28:41 EDT

**From:** <Boblorrie4@aol.com>

**To:** :millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** cc:gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

eptember 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of

2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Bob and Lorrie Fetonti |  
159 Larch Road  
Briarcliff Manor, New York 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millenium comments**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 20:37:54 EDT

**From:** <Boblorrie4@aol.com>

**To:** devans@doc.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

eptember 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of

2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Bob and Lorrie Fetonti  
159 Larch Road  
Briarcliff Manor, New York 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 17:53:39 EDT

**From:** <Carrolleve@aol.com>

**To:** devans@doc.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 18, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th

(no subject)

hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Meg C. Pressman  
23 Westminster Drive  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

**Subject: Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 16:21:23 EDT

**From:** <Piccolom@aol.com>

**To:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov, devans@doc.gov

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply

40% of New York City's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Michelle Piccolo Hill  
2 Westminster Dr.  
Croton, NY 10520

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline Project**  
**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov  
**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 17:22:26 EDT  
**From:** <Piecesofjess@aol.com>  
**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov  
**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.  
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Jessica Gonzales  
23 Lawrence Farms Crossway  
Chappaqua, NY, 10514  
piecesofjess@aol.com

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: MILLENNIUM PIPELINE**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 18:57:17 EDT

**From:** <Wineman1133@aol.com>

**To:** MILLENNIUM.COMMENTS@NOAA.GOV

**CC:** cc:GCOS.INQUIRIES@NOAA.GOV

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.  
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

**JULIANA MATRA**

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 19:14:52 EDT

**From:** <Wineman1133@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** CC:gc.os.inquiries@noaa.gov

In a message dated 9/16/2002 5:40:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time, d.l.glaser@att.net writes:

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.  
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by

placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

**Anthony Matra**

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Re: E-mail Blitz starts NOW!**  
**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov  
**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 21:11:59 EDT  
**From:** <Tcmaile@aol.com>  
**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov  
**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

**September 17, 2002**

**Secretary Donald L. Evans**  
**Office of the Secretary**  
**Room 5854**  
**U.S. Department of Commerce**  
**14th & Constitution Ave. NW**  
**Washington, DC 20230**

**Dear Secretary Evans,**

**I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.**

**The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:**

**within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)**  
**within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity**  
**1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline**  
**through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of**

**New York City and Westchester residents within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.**

**As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.**

**There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\***

**Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.**

**Sincerely,**

***Beverly and Thomas Maile***

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: The Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 21:50:59 -0400

**From:** "Arlene Murphy" <vin.arlene.murphy@worldnet.att.net>

**To:** <devans@doc.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14th & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity

- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after

extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Arlene & Vincent Murphy

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 18 Sep 2002 22:34:48 EDT

**From:** <Erderm@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.  
As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*  
Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians,

(no subject)

not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

*Cindy Hoffman  
6 Amalfi Drive  
Cortlandt manor, NY 10567*

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject:**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 03:19:26 +0000

**From:** "Marcel Bellve" <kujox@hotmail.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We

sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,  
marcel bellve

---

Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: <http://mobile.msn.com>

**Subject: Millennium Gas Pipeline**  
**Resent-From: GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov**  
**Date: Thu, 19 Sep 2002 08:23:38 EDT**  
**From: <K8andCompany@aol.com>**  
**To: Devans@doc.gov**  
**CC: gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov**

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)  
within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity  
1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline  
through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents  
within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water. As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

**Kathy Gaughran**  
**1524 Washington Street**

***Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567***

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject:**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 08:40:18 -0400

**From:** "Andrew Wax" <wax@att.net>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S. Department of Commerce

14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in

this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Meri and Andrew Wax

19 Carleton Avenue  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510  
(914) 923-7584  
[wax@att.net](mailto:wax@att.net)  
cell: (914) 714-4178

---  
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).  
Version: 6.0.386 / Virus Database: 218 - Release Date: 9/9/2002

**Subject: Millennium appeal**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 10:16:11 -0400

**From:** "Jonathan Glaser" <tracer24@att.net>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)

within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity

1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline

through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents

within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Glaser  
14 Westminster Dr  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520  
\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject:**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 16:13:53 -0400

**From:** Rick Carter <rcarter@ycc969midland.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 19, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York. The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\* Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a

public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Carter  
25 Carleton Avenue  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millennium pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 20:55:01 -0400

**From:** Sally Scudo <sas246@juno.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Sept. 19, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Sally Scudo

21 Larch Road Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millenium pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 20:55:01 -0400

**From:** Sally Scudo <sas246@juno.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

Sept. 19, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Sally Scudo

21 Larch Road Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: millennium pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Thu, 19 Sep 2002 21:06:08 -0500

**From:** "Sahzee7" <Sahzee7@email.msn.com>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

<?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />September 17, 2002

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Secretary Donald L. Evans

Office of the Secretary

Room 5854

U.S.O. Department of Commerce

14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

William Stallings

**Subject:**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 23 Sep 2002 13:03:59 -0400

**From:** Linda Quicci <LindaQ@townofcortlandt.com>

**To:** "millennium.comments@noaa.gov" <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** "gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov" <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 23 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans;

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* Within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* Within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City and Westchester's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2 feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

Page 2  
Letter to Secretary Evans

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by

the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Linda D. Puglisi  
Supervisor, Town of Cortlandt

LDP/lq

\*New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 23 Sep 2002 21:22:03 EDT

**From:** <FJCBJC@aol.com>

**To:** millennium.comments@noaa.gov

**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,  
Barbara and Fernando Camacho  
34 Westminster Drive  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

**Subject: RE: Opposed to FERC decision on Millenium Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Millennium.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 23 Sep 2002 10:14:51 -0400

**From:** "Virginia Stillman" <stillman@computer.net>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Virginia and Peter Stillman

(no subject)

**Subject:** (no subject)  
**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov  
**Date:** Mon, 23 Sep 2002 06:41:18 EDT  
**From:** <DRCMOM@aol.com>  
**To:** devans@doc.gov  
**CC:** gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov

September 17, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- \* within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- \* within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- \* 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- \* through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- \* within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this

fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Dorothea and Rick Caulfield

(no subject)

228 Washburn Road  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170

**Subject: Millennium Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** GCOS.Inquiries@noaa.gov

**Date:** Tue, 24 Sep 2002 10:21:27 -0400

**From:** "Chris Kerwin" <ckerwin@bestweb.net>

**To:** <millennium.comments@noaa.gov>

**CC:** <gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov>

September 24, 2002

Secretary Donald L. Evans  
Office of the Secretary  
Room 5854  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th & Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing in support of the New York State Department of State decision on the Millennium Pipeline project that ruled the project inconsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This ruling properly recognized the devastating impacts of the project to the Hudson River and the New York City Watershed. You should not impinge on State's rights by overriding this well thought out decision. This past spring, the Administration announced a plan to buy out oil drilling leases in Florida based on a policy to protect the State's coastal zone. The same courtesy should be extended to the Governor and State of New York.

The Secretary of Commerce should not override the New York State decision. In addition to the devastating impact on the Hudson River, the Millennium project as currently planned seriously undermines our national security by building the pipeline in the midst of critical infrastructure that has never undergone a site study after 9/11. The pipeline would be built:

- within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Facility (placing it within the 10-mile evacuation zone)
- within feet of the Con Edison transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity
- 1.6 miles from the Algonquin Pipeline
- through the New Croton Reservoir that provides drinking water to millions of New York City and Westchester residents
- within 2-feet of the Bryn Mawr Siphon in Yonkers that supplies 40% of New York City's drinking water.

As I write, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection is investing \$70 million dollars to rapidly install anti-terrorism measures to the New York City watershed. This protection is being added because New York City recognizes the vulnerability of this system and after extensive study has determined that this is necessary even in this fiscally constrained environment. We should not add additional risk to the vulnerable watershed by placing a gas pipeline through the heart of a system that supplies drinking water for millions.

There are alternatives to this destructive route through the Hudson River and Westchester County. For example, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline, a subsidiary of El Paso Corporation, plans to file an application with FERC by the end of 2002 that provides 500,000 Dth/d to the Northeast region and New York City.\*

Your agency has had an open invitation since May from the Westchester County Executive to hold a public hearing regarding the Millennium Pipeline administrative appeal in Westchester County. Your decision to wait until after the November election has sent a negative message to the citizens of Westchester County, one of protecting politicians, not citizens. As a courtesy to the working citizens of Westchester County, please do not begin the November 13th hearing before 7:00 p.m. We look forward to the opportunity to express our concerns about this project at the hearing. We sincerely hope that you will listen on that evening and truly judge this appeal based on the merits.

Sincerely,

Christine Kerwin, PhD  
4 Dailey Drive  
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520

\* New York State Energy Plan FEIS June 2002 pp 3-170