

"Federal officials cannot unlawfully declare an emergency by dictatorial edict – enabling operation of an illegally located cable that endangers Consumers' Connecticut's environment, consumers and economy," Blumenthal said. "There is no emergency by administrative fiat. This cable will raise overridingly valid state laws and permits. We seek a court order to stop the federal government from manufacturing an emergency that would justify federal officials destroying an overridingly valid state law and permits. We seek a court overruling validity of federal emergency by administrative fiat.

Commissioner said. "The order is illegal because federal law only lets DOE override state rules and permits in "emergencies," such as war or a natural disaster, Blumenthal and Rocque said. There is obviously no longer an emergency that justifies the cable's continued operation while it is in violation of its state and federal permits, the Attorney General and the DEP Commissioner said.

Cable. "The order allowing the indefinite operation of the Cross-Sound cable is illegal because federal law only lets DOE override state rules and permits in "emergencies," such as war or a natural disaster, Blumenthal and Rocque said. There is obviously no longer an emergency that justifies the cable's continued operation while it is in violation of its state and federal permits, the Attorney General and the DEP Commissioner said.

September 22, 2003

CABLE CHALLENGE TO STOP OPERATION OF CROSS-SOUND ATTORNEY GENERAL AND DEP CHIEF FILE COURT

COMMISSIONER ARTHUR J. ROCQUE, JR.
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
ATTORNEY GENERAL RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
NEWS RELEASE
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Press Release

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut's electricity prices by at least \$36 million annually and reduce reliability of the entire electric system, as well as imperil Long Island Sound and shipping in New Haven Harbor. The supposed emergency is camouflage for another Administration effort to rescue a special energy interest at the expense of the public interest."

"It's unfortunate that litigation is necessary, but this case is extremely important," Rocque said. "Not only is state sovereignty over its tidelands at risk, so is the integrity of its permit programs. The case is a precedent for other expected disputes such as the Islander East gas pipeline. I expect other coastal states will take a keen interest in the outcome of this case."

The Cross-Sound Cable violates its state and federal permits because it is not buried to the required depth along hundreds of feet of New Haven Harbor. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ordered the cable buried to 48 feet below mean low tide in order to ensure safe navigation and future deepening of the channel in the state's busiest harbor.

On Aug. 15, Energy Secretary Spencer Abraham ordered the cable switched on during the massive blackout that hit New York, much of the mid-West and Canada. On Aug. 28 after power had been restored throughout the affected areas, Abraham extended that order indefinitely, claiming that an "emergency" existed until the causes of the power outage were determined.

Blumenthal and Rocque filed this appeal today in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in New York.

The state is withdrawing its state court appeal in light of these developments.

[Click here to see Report](#)

[Back to the Attorney General's Home Page](#)

[Back to the Press Releases Page](#)

[E-Mail Attorney General Richard Blumenthal](#)

5.1.12

19th ■ 2001

Celebrating a Century of Forest Conservation Leadership

An Equal Opportunity Employer • <http://dec.state.ct.us>

79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

(Printed on Recycled Paper)

I would be remiss if I did not note my disappointment in your characterization of the impacts associated with both the installation of the cable and the failure to attain greater depths in marine environments in general and Long Island Sound in particular. Given my own background in marine analyses, from an environmental perspective, this cable project poses no comparable threat to the marine habitat in New Haven Harbor. Moreover, in terms of direct impact on shellfish beds in particular, neither the cable project nor maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel in New Haven Harbor, I agree with federal level, raised concerns in terms of approaching these. Given the state of the marine has any reviewing permit authority with expertise in marine projects, at no time has it part of the federal channel as seafloor, critical and devastating environmental impacts. At no point has any organization permitted the failure and the cable and the failure to attain greater depths in marine environments in general and Long Island Sound in particular. Given either the state or federal perspective, this cable project poses no comparable threat to the marine habitat in New Haven Harbor.

While it is apparently true that the cable is not presently installed at all points to the depth specified in the permit, however, provides in its terms and conditions for a three-year construction period, a standard practice on such permits. Therefore, Cross Sound is clearly authorized to resume their construction activities under this Department, should Cross Sound elect to seek modifications of their construction authority from this Department, such restrictions expire without any additional authorization as they are permitted when the seasonal construction of spawning shellfish and anomalous fish. No extension or waiver of these restrictions has been requested; it is unlikely that it would be granted under present habitat conditions even if requested. The permit, however, provides in its terms and conditions for a three-year construction period, a standard practice on such permits. Therefore, Cross Sound is clearly authorized to resume their construction activities under this Department, such that it would be granted under present habitat conditions even if requested. Under most circumstances and consistent with long-standing agency policy, such modifications would not be deemed either a new application or a new proceeding.

Thank you for your letter of June 5, 2002, concerning the Department of Environmental Protection's permit number 200102720-MIG issued to the Cross Cable Company. While I appreciate your advice and your viewpoint, I believe that your interpretation of the permit conditions for this permit and recommendations regarding the project are inconsistent with past practices of the Department. As you know, these restrictions were imposed by DPP in order to restrict crossings in the permit. As you know, these restrictions were imposed by DPP in order to protect spawning shellfish and anomalous fish. No extension or waiver of these restrictions has been requested; it is unlikely that it would be granted under present habitat conditions even if requested. The permit, however, provides in its terms and conditions for a three-year construction period, a standard practice on such permits. Therefore, Cross Sound is clearly authorized to resume their construction activities under this Department, such that it would be granted under present habitat conditions even if requested.

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal
Attorney General
Of the State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120

Arthur J. Rocque, Jr.
Commissioner
06/11/2002



June 13, 2002

PHONE: (860) 424-3601

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
79 ELM STREET HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06106

06/11/2002 11:06 FAX 08:45

DEP OLISP

660 424 4054 F.03/84

Cross-Sound



06/11/2002 11:07 FAX
JUN-18-2002 08:46

DEP OLISP

003
860 424 4054 P.04/04

Attorney General Blumenthal


2

June 13, 2002

dredging begin to compare with the impacts associated with deepening the federal navigation channel. I point this out not to express concern over the permitability of New Haven Harbor dredging projects for which I know you have expressed support; they have been permitted in the past. Rather, I point this out over concern that published rhetoric has eclipsed facts on this project, at least from an environmental impact standpoint.

Without a specific request before me, I think it unwise for me to speculate as to what our conclusion will be on the future options available for the Cross Sound Project. Rest assured, however, that this Department has taken the Cross Sound Cable project very seriously and would have done so even if it were not controversial. We will endeavor to make sure that the applicant completes the project to the best of their ability in accordance with the terms and conditions of the issued permit. Should they do otherwise, we will pursue the appropriate remedy. If that remedy includes the need to enforce any of the terms and conditions of the permit, we will, as we most always do, turn to your staff for assistance.

If you have any questions or any additional comments you would like to make, I would be pleased to receive them.

Sincerely,

Arthur J. Racque, Jr.
Commissioner

AJR/lmt

cc: Jane Stahl, Deputy Commissioner
Charlie Evans - DEP

TOTAL P.04