

Subject:

Resent-From: BarnesNursery.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Tue, 19 Nov 2002 16:46:35 -0500

From: "jennifer vincenty" <jennifer_vincenty@hotmail.com>

To: barnesnursery.comments@noaa.gov

Please don't allow Barnes Nursery to alter the coastal area any more than they have. This case will set an awful prescidence and cannot be allowed. Sheldon marsh is a wonderful area here in our area and an important byway for the migration routes. Our area is too built up as is and we need to preserve the few areas left. Sheldon Marsh does that. Dikes and the such make different habitats that are not preferred to migrating birds. This will reduce their already slim chances of success from season to season.....NO!

jennifer Vincenty
Vermilion, Ohio

Protect your PC - get McAfee.com VirusScan Online
<http://clinic.mcafee.com/clinic/ibuy/campaign.asp?cid=3963>

11/21/2002 9:49 AM

Subject: Support for State of Ohio Position
Resent-From: BarnesNursery.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Tue, 19 Nov 2002 09:40:40 -0500
From: Paul McSweeny <mcsweeny@genesis.eng.ohio-state.edu>
To: "barnesnursery.comments@noaa.gov" <barnesnursery.comments@noaa.gov>

NOAA Reviewer,

I am an avid bird watcher living in Ohio who has followed the defense of the environment, the best interests of the citizens of Ohio and most importantly the rule of law in the actions following the illegal actions taken by Barnes Nursery in the Sheldon's Marsh Preserve. I urge you to uphold the State of Ohio's denial of Coastal Consistency of the Barnes Nursery project (dike and channel) dug in Sheldon Marsh wetland complex in July of 2000.

As I understand the issue before you, you must determine whether the Barnes Nursery Project is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). It is apparent that it isn't for the following reasons. In all that has gone on to-date, the rights and due process of law were denied to the people of Ohio and this, in itself, does not further the national interest. The burden of proof of consistency with the CZMA lies with Barnes and they have failed to produce this information. There are numerous adverse coastal effects that already have happened and will continue to happen unless there is immediate restoration of Sheldon Marsh to its original, pre-construction condition.

The preservation of this unique area is, for my selfish reasons as a birder, very important but I also feel that the blatant manner in which this business has usurped it's legal and ethical boundaries must be recognized and redressed.

Once again, I strongly recommend that you uphold the State of Ohio's denial of Coastal Consistency in this matter.

Sincerely,

Paul E. McSweeny
Worthington, Ohio

11/19/2002 9:50 AM