

**Subject: (no subject)**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 3 Nov 2003 09:54:01 EST

**From:** CLORISLEACH@aol.com

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

This is to inform you of my adamant disapproval of Shoreline East's proposed pipeline in Branford. The State of Connecticut and it's citizens have spent years on a campaign to "Save the Sound" with great success. To put that strong effort in peril for corporate profit is unconscienable. Various agencies at the state and federal level have agreed, numerous public meetings have been held all of which were heavily atended with 95% of the taxpaying public dissenting this project. It's time to listen to this preponderance of people who see the negative effects of this proposal to our very sensitive ecco-system. There is already a pipeline in Milford, Ct. which attests to an upheaval in the sound's workings. Wouldn't it make more sense to add onto this existing line instead of creating a new one? This entire project smacks of yet another play for profit over sensitivity to the environment. I urge you to disallow Islander East's proposal and look into less distructive avenues.

Dorothy M. Leachman 3 Long Point Rd.

Stony Creek, Ct.

E-mail

clorisleach@aol.com

**Subject: Re: Islander East**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 03 Nov 2003 03:14:03 +0000

**From:** ES259@att.net

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Please support the CT DEP and deny Islander East's appeal

It's taken so long to get the water quality to its present state that I do not want to see it destroyed. The Islander East proposal will harm the water quality, shellfish beds and coastal wetlands.

Also, as this is a states rights issue, please uphold the right of my state to protect Connecticut's environment in the manner it sees fit.

Elizabeth Stuckal  
83 Flower House Drive  
Fairfield, CT 06824

**Subject: Stop the pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sun, 2 Nov 2003 14:35:51 -0500

**From:** "Joanne Borrus" <jborrus@snet.net>

**To:** <IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov>

Please don't let them put the pipeline into the Sound. Please deny Islander East's appeal. The Less environmentally harmful alternative route that was identified is the way they should go.

**Subject: Islander East**

**Resent-From:** Islandercast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sat, 01 Nov 2003 19:53:57 -0500

**From:** Robert Perron <rperron@wesleyan.cdu>

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Islander East has become a symbol of the current administration's blind determination to sneak decisions through whichever way it takes, specifically avoiding all awareness of the will of the public. In the Pipeline case, they are quite openly evading the affected public by moving the hearing out of the immediate venue of the concerned public. Quite apart from this specific case, it all looks like another instance of the ham-handed Cheney pounding his will to fruition, too bad about the American people.

Robert Perron

Robert Perron, Photographer  
119 Chestnut Street  
Branford, CT 06405

email:office@bobberron.com

203-481-2004 phone  
203-481-5041 fax  
www.bobberron.com

**Subject: Pipeline Proposal**

**Resent-From:** IslanderEast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Fri, 31 Oct 2003 11:27:19 -0500

**From:** "Jim McGuire" <jgm McGuire@snet.net>

**To:** <IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I am unable to attend or speak at the upcoming public hearing to be held at the Omni Hotel so I am forwarding this note to reflect my displeasure with this pipeline being shoved down the throats of our Connecticut citizens.

Too much fragile and valuable real estate would be damaged as proposed both on land and on the Long Island Sound floor. There is an existing pipeline that would have a lesser impact on the ecology if in fact another pipe is really needed. There is no doubt in any clear thinking persons mind that the Islander East Pipeline proposal is "for profit" only or other solutions would have been considered.

I love our Long Island Sound and wish to keep tender of it for generations to come. Please stop this maddness now.

Respectfully yours,

James G. McGuire  
5 Seaview Avenue  
Branford, CT. 06405  
(203) 481-0116

**Subject: gas pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Fri, 31 Oct 2003 11:22:27 -0500

**From:** "nancy thompson" <nxt@cshore.com>

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov, kkennedyMD@aol.com

**To Whom It May Concern:**

I will be out of town on Nov. 5, so not able to attend the meeting about Islander East and its proposed gas pipeline. I would like, once again, to register my dismay over this idea. The threat to the ecological balance of nature in the Stony Creek area (for one) of Branford would be devastating. The pipeline would cross through an area of supposedly "protected" marshland that is the central focal point of wildlife hiking for citizens from miles around. The list of birds to be seen commonly in this area is endless -- ranging from rare black ibis to great blue herons, egrets of all kinds, and osprey which come year after year and hatch babies within easy viewing from the trail. If you asked a blue ribbon committee to pick the least suitable area for a utility to run equipment and infrastructure through, this would have to be it. It's inconceivable that this can still be an issue after the number of meetings that have been held about this issue in which hundreds of voices have been raised to say NO to Islander East's proposal. Please add mine, once again, to the NO roster. Thank you. Nancy Thompson, 37 Long Hill Road, Guilford, CT 06437.