

To whom it may Concern
CP06-54-000

My husband & I do not think Broadwater is a very good thing to have on Long Island. The cost that will affect us and the security concerns of having a big barge in the middle of the sound. Please take into the consideration the people who live here

ORIGINAL

Yours truly
Pat & Tom [Signature]
26 Patten Rd
St James NY
11780

REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC 14 11:08

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 3:49

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 28, 2006

To My Elected Official:

I am writing this letter to indicate that the Broadwater project is not only harmful to Long Island Sound but not cost effective for the service it will provide. There is no need to disrupt the waters of the Sound with such an industrial project, one that will only need some type of security due to the threat of terrorism and this security, whatever it may turn out to be, will just be another intrusion upon the quality of Long Island Sound.

Very truly yours,



William J. Nash
1 Rutgers Street
Smithtown, N.Y. 11787

Amy Jacobs
21 Dunedin Street
Smithtown, NY 11787
November 27, 2006
CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL


Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:07
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

I am writing to express my concern regarding Broadwater and the placement of a natural gas terminal in the Long Island Sound. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not at all needed in the Sound. The peacefulness of the Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by the private armed security forces that would surround the barge. I hope Long Islanders can count on you for your support against this project.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely:



Amy Jacobs

November 27, 2006


CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4: 08
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.



Susan Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

Victor Jacobs
21 Dunedin Street
Smithtown, NY 11787
November 27, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 08

CP06-54-000


FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

ORIGINAL

I am writing to express my concern regarding Broadwater and the placement of a natural gas terminal in the Long Island Sound. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not at all needed in the Sound. The peacefulness of the Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by the private armed security forces that would surround the barge. I hope Long Islanders can count on you for your support against this project.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely:


Victor Jacobs

November 27, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 08

REGULATORY DIVISION

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Julianne Russo

Julianne Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

November 27, 2006

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 08

ORIGINAL

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Matthew Russo

Matthew Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

December 4, 2006

CP06-54-000

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

 ORIGINAL

FILED THE
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC - 7 P M: 08
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

Dear Magalie Salas

I have lived on Long Island for 52 years. As a child I spent my summers swimming in the Long Island Sound. It is disheartening to see the destruction of our estuaries and pollution of the Sound. Since 1974 I have been trying to educate people about the pollution of our ground water and the pollution of Long Island Sound.

I view Broadwater as another threat to our environment in every respect especially the prospect of industrializing the Long Island Sound. I urge you to do all you can to protect the Long Island Sound. Isn't it about time to start using alternative energy sources nationwide?

Sincerely,


Linda Janieck
14 Pine St.
St. James, New York 11780

November 27, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 07

REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.



Nicholas Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

November 27, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 07

CP06-54-000

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.



Michael Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

November 27, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 07

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

To Whom It May Concern:

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.



Laura Russo
1 Kent Place
Smithtown, NY 11787

CP06-54-000

To Magalie R. Saias
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

The Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound &
The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil water of the sound.
Also this facility limits public access and sets dangerous precedents of industrializing the
sound.

Thank you
Peter Chaffardet

 ORIGINAL

REGISTRARY COMMISION

2006 DEC - 7 P 4: 10

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

November 30, 2006

To:

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm IA
Washington, DC 20426

2006 DEC -7 P 4:10
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sir,
Broadwater is too costly, risky ad not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

CP06-54-000

Elcy Yohannan
58 Bretton Road
Garden city Park
N.Y. 11040

CP06-54-000

11/28/06

TO Magalie R. Sales,

ORIGIN:

This letter is to inform you that I am very much against the Broadwater project. Broadwater would completely disrupt the Long Island Sound - both economically and for recreational purposes.

My father & I spend most of the summer out on the sound fishing & relaxing. We don't need our "sanctuary" destroyed by this expensive & risky project. What will be next? The industrialization of the entire sound? Shouldn't we be following the steps of our past leaders, like Teddy Roosevelt, and be preserving our natural habitats, rather than destroying/jeopardizing them?

If you truly represent Long Islanders - you will see this Broadwater project as a major mistake and something we users of the sound are completely against.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 PM 4:10
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sincerely,
Jennifer Turcinovic
Jennifer Turcinovic
26 Northern Pkwy E
Plainview, NY 11003

CP06-54-000

November 28, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Margaret F. Sciala
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

DEC - 7 P 3:49
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Dear Ms Sciala,

I am writing this letter to inform you of my opposition to the Broadwater campaign in the Long Island Sound. It is a costly endeavor that is not necessary and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful, tranquil waters of the Sound.

Thank you,
Patti Cantamessa
Patti Cantamessa
51 Arlington Ave.
St. James, NY 11780

CP06-54-000

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC - 1 P 3:50
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:



I am just writing to let you know the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Please respond in writing of your position to this matter.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William J. Ferris".

William J. Ferris
105 Arthur Drive
Smithtown, NY 11787

CP06. 54-000

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

 ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -1 P 3:50
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am just writing to let you know the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Please respond in writing of your position to this matter.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

William A. Ferris

William A. Ferris
105 Arthur Drive
Smithtown, NY 11787

CP06-54-000

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -1 P 3:58
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am just writing to let you know the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Please respond in writing of your position to this matter.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Samantha Ferris
Samantha Ferris
105 Arthur Drive
Smithtown, NY 11787

CP06..54-000

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

ORIGIN:

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 3:50
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am just writing to let you know the Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Please respond in writing of your position to this matter.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A Ferris

Cheryl Ferris
105 Arthur Drive
Smithtown, NY 11787

Dear Magalie R. Salas CP06-54-000

The Long island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private security forces surrounding the barge.

ORIGINAL


FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC - 7 P 4:23
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Thank you

Vincenza Grappuso

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

CP06-54-000



Catherine A Hanson
17 White Ave
St James, NY 11780

 **ORIGIN**

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 14:09
WASHINGTON, DC

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Nancy Baker CP06-54-000
Nancy Baker
17 White Ave
St James, NY 11780

ORIGINAL

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 09

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

**Margalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:08

Dear Mrs. Salas,

I am a resident of Long Island and have lived here my entire life. 41 years. I am writing this letter to you to let you know that I am totally against the LNG Barge in the Long Island Sound. It is costly and risky for all Long Islanders. The Long Island Sound will be forever ruined by armed security forces surrounding the barge. I have been a boater on the Long Island sound for 20 years and would be very disappointed if they allow this barge in our Sound. Boating will not be peaceful anymore if you allow them to put the barge there. I have two young children and want them to grow up learning how to boat on the sound without any disruption. So I beg of you to please not sign yes for this facility.

ORIGINAL

Joseph
**Joseph Iaquinto
55 East Hill Drive
Smithtown NY 11787**

**Margalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


CP06-54-000

2006 DEC -7 P 4:09

Dear Mrs. Salas,

I am a resident of Long Island and have lived here my entire life, 41 years. I am writing this letter to you to let you know that I am totally against the LNG Barge in the Long Island Sound. It is costly and risky for all Long Islanders. The Long Island Sound will be forever ruined by armed security forces surrounding the barge. I have been a boater on the Long Island sound for 20 years and would be very disappointed if they allow this barge in our Sound. Boating will not be peaceful anymore if you allow them to put the barge there. I have two young children and want them to grow up learning how to boat on the sound without any disruption. So I beg of you to please not sign yes for this facility.

ORIGINAL


**Joseph Iaquinto
55 East Hill Drive
Smithtown NY 11787**

**Margalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

Dear Mrs. Salas,

I am a resident of Long Island and have lived here my entire life, 41 years. I am writing this letter to you to let you know that I am totally against the LNG Barge in the Long Island Sound. It is costly and risky for all Long Islanders. The Long Island Sound will be forever ruined by armed security forces surrounding the barge. I have been a boater on the Long Island sound for 20 years and would be very disappointed if they allow this barge in our Sound. Boating will not be peaceful anymore if you allow them to put the barge there. I have two young children and want them to grow up learning how to boat on the sound without any disruption. So I beg of you to please not sign yes for this facility.

Joseph Iaquinto
**Joseph Iaquinto
55 East Hill Drive
Smithtown NY 11787**

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4 09
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426**

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 09

To Ms. Salas,

**Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and
the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of
the Sound.**

Sincerely,
Kelly D. Horan

 ORIGINAL

Kelly D. Horan

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:09

CP06-54-000

To Ms. Salas,

**Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and
the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of
the Sound.**

Sincerely,
Michael C. Horan

 ORIGINAL



Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000 2006 DEC -7 P 4:09

To Ms. Salas,

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,
Megan D. Horan

Megan Horan

 ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

To Ms. Salas,

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,
Michael G. Horan



REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC - 7 P 4: 09

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP 06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:26
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Nov. 27, 2006

Dear Magalie Sales,

Broadwater is not needed
in the Sound and is too costly
and potentially hazardous.

Help us preserve 41 Sound
as a safe, tranquil place.

Thank you!

Susan Krause

Susan Krause

29 Roseville Ave.

St. James, NY 11780

December 1, 2006

ORIGINAL

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 07

To Magalie R. Salas:

CP06-54-000

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

I am writing in regard to the proposed Broadwater terminal to be built in the middle of the Long Island Sound. Having been a lifetime resident of Long Island, I am strongly against the building of such a terminal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. **This facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary.** Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would forever be disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the tankers, as they enter and exit the Sound. The facility will limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

What is the Federal Energy Regulation Commission's position on this proposed facility?

I thank you in advance for your time and consideration in this matter. Help us keep Long Island Sound the beautiful tranquil waters it has always been.

Sincerely,



Robert Mazaroski
45 Clearland Avenue
Carle Place, NY 11514

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

To FERC

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

We don't need any more Bay
of our L.A. sound that causes accidents
and Endanger our Fishy + Beaches.
On constant security Forces of our Beaches.

John R Conklin
3 Bonaparte
S.C.P. NY 11040

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington D.C 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:10

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-050

November 30, 2006


Attn: Magali R. Salas

 ORIGINAL

Broadwater is too high priced, perilous and not required in the Long Island Sound. The constant armed private security interferes with the peaceful waters of the Long Island sound.

This facility constricts public access and sets a precarious criterion of streamlining the Long Island Sound

Sincerely



Timothy J. Nacewicz
39 Bedford Avenue
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000

November 29, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas,

I have recently heard of the Broadwater plan, and I am very concerned for the future of Long Island's environment. Having lived on Long Island for over 50 years, I have grown to love and enjoy our Long Island Sound and its peaceful tranquility. I do not feel that this is a smart move for Long Island for many reasons, some being its cost to the taxpayers, the risks to the sound, and the constant armed security patrolling our waters. I do not want to be limited to the areas of the Long Island Sound that I can access. I am also fearful that Broadwater will make other businesses decide to industrialize our sound. Long Island is already being over industrialized- we do not need to industrialize our waters as well. If you could respond to me in writing informing me of your position on this matter, it would be greatly appreciated. Thank you very much for your time, and I look forward to hearing back from you.

Sincerely,

Christine Pinto

Christine Pinto
3 Copper Beech Rd
St James, NY 11780

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:10

REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

ORIGINAL

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Linda M. Sekula
11 Seventh Street
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000

Linda M. Sekula

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 11

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


Magalie R Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

ORIGINAL

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Richard E. Sekula Jr
11 Seventh Street
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000



FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4: 11
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION


CP06-54-000

Magalie R Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

 ORIGINAL

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Richard E. Sekula
11 Seventh Street
Garden City Park, NY 11040




RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:11
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-0250

To Whom it May Concern,

ORIGINAL The Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky LNG barge. Broadadwater is too costly and risky and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful, tranquil waters of the Sound. This would also set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Sincerely,



**Judy Curiale
33 Whitney St
Westbury, NY 11590
12/01/06**

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4 11

REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 12

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 27, 2006

 ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

Megalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. NE., RM 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Magalie R. Salas:


This letter is to inform you of our support of the CCE and their efforts.




The Broadwater is too costly, risky and not need in the LI Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil water of the sound.

Please find a safe and quiet way to harness energy.

Sincerely,

The Gallagher Family
Ray, Liz, Greg, Andrew, Britt, Joe and Molly
Gallagher
129 Fifty Acre Rd. S.
Smithtown, NY 11787




Brittany Gallagher

Gregory

Andrew Gallagher

ORIGINAL

To Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

The Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound &
The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil water of the sound.
Also this facility limits public access and sets dangerous precedents of industrializing the
sound.

CP06-54-000

Thank you
Chiqui Carall

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC - 7 P 4: 12

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E.
Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

ORIGINAL


FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:12
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas,

The Broadwater project would be too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound, and the constant armed private security will disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of our Long Island Sound.

CP 06-54-000

Sincerely;



John Veneroni
37 Clearland Ave.
Carle Place, N.Y. 11514


ORIGINAL

To Whom It May Concern,

Our family, along with thousands of others, does not want the LNG barge in our waters. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. It will limit our freedoms of the Sound for something that we will not benefit from. It sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound

CP06-54-000

Sincerely yours,
The Williams

Handwritten signatures of the Williams family, including a signature that appears to be 'The Williams' and another that appears to be 'Lac 96 Williams'.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 12

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Megalie R. Sales
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

 ORIGINAL

As a student attending Smithtown High School East, the mere idea of placing a Liquefied Natural Gas terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound is preposterous. It is not only ethically wrong, but morally unacceptable. You are putting lives at danger and pose a threat to all in the entire vicinity of the LNG. I thought authorities were suppose to care for it's people...well this action is certainly not portraying care for the people. Has the world seriously become this corrupt? Oil companies trying to milk the citizens for all they're worth; WE PAY ENOUGH ALREADY! And they're raking in dough while we pay extra on our taxes. What do we, the people, benefit from this? It's just appalling, especially in the shoes of a tenth grader when one can feel so helpless. Well, here is my opposing letter to state how absurd it is to disrupt our waters and how ludicrous, idiotic, irrational, and irresponsible it is to impose such dangerous features on our territory! And if the authorities are so keen on helping the people, then why not place this *thing* in a desolate area where innocent people [being taken advantage of no less] wouldn't have the potential to be killed. Or better yet, just don't have it at all!

CP06-54-000

Lauren MacDonald
24 Copperbeech Rd
St. James NY, 11780

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:12
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

MAGALIE R SALAS - FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
888 FIRST ST NE, RM 1A
WASHINGTON D.C. 20426

ORIGINAL

I'M WRITING TO OPPOSE THE BROADWATER LIQUID NATURAL GAS TERMINAL THE LISOUND SHOULD NOT BE PRIVITIZED FOR ANY REASON. LNG IS DANGEROUS HIGHLY VOLATILE & AN EYESORE, TAKING AWAY A PORTION OF THE SOUND FROM BOATERS IS WRONG, SECURITY & A NO PUBLIC ZONE TO FURTHER OIL COMPANIES BILLIONAIRES & IT'S STOCKHOLDERS IS MORALLY AND ETHICALLY WRONG. PUTTING PEOPLE AT RISK IN SUCH A POPULATED AREA IS RIDICULOUS, ENOUGH! LEAVE OUR LISOUND ALONE. PLEASE LET ME KNOW YOUR POSITION

Thomas N. MacDonagh
THOMAS N. MACDONAGH
24 COPPERBEECH RD
ST. JAMES N.Y. 11780

CP06-54-000

RECEIVED
SECRETARY OF THE
COMMISSION

DEC 7 11 41 AM '06

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 29, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First st., NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

 ORIGINAL

Dear Ms. Salas,

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound. The constant armed private security will disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

There are too many risks in placing this facility in the sound.

Sincerely,


Deborah Mason
229 Cedar St.
St. James, NY 11780

CP06-54-000

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 11

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

November 28, 2006

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-020

Magalie R. Salis
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 12
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salis,

I am writing to you to express my concern about Broadwater, the proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am against such a proposal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. The peaceful, calm waters of the Long Island Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge. This facility would also limit public access, and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. I am asking that you take these points into consideration when discussing this proposal. Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. Please respond to me, in writing, stating what your position is on this matter.

Thanking you in advance.

Sincerely,
Thomas J Quinn Jr

Thomas J Quinn Jr
173 Tredwell Avenue
St. James, NY 11780

November 28, 2006

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

Magalie R. Salis
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 12
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salis,

I am writing to you to express my concern about Broadwater, the proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am against such a proposal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. The peaceful, calm waters of the Long Island Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge. This facility would also limit public access, and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. I am asking that you take these points into consideration when discussing this proposal. Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. Please respond to me, in writing, stating what your position is on this matter.

Thanking you in advance.

Sincerely,
Susan Hug-Quinn
Susan Hug-Quinn
173 Tredwell Avenue
St. James, NY 11780

November 28, 2006

ORIGINAL

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 12

CP06-54-000

Magalie R. Salis
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salis,

I am writing to you to express my concern about Broadwater, the proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am against such a proposal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. The peaceful, calm waters of the Long Island Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge. This facility would also limit public access, and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. I am asking that you take these points into consideration when discussing this proposal. Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. Please respond to me, in writing, stating what your position is on this matter.

Thanking you in advance.

Sincerely,

Kelly Quinn

Kelly Quinn

173 Tredwell Avenue
St. James, NY 11780

CP06-54-000

November 28, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

2006 DEC -7 P 4:12

Magalie R. Salis
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salis,

I am writing to you to express my concern about Broadwater, the proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am against such a proposal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. The peaceful, calm waters of the Long Island Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge. This facility would also limit public access, and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. I am asking that you take these points into consideration when discussing this proposal. Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. Please respond to me, in writing, stating what your position is on this matter.

Thanking you in advance.

Sincerely,

173 Tredwell Avenue
St. James, NY 11780

[Handwritten signature]

CP06-54-000

November 27, 2006

 ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
88 First Street, NE, Rm 1A
~~156 Pine Street~~
Washington DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC - 1 P 4: 13
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas

The Coast Guard's safety and security report for the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas Terminal in Long Island Sound has been brought to my attention. This terminal would be unnecessary, too expensive, dangerous, and disruptive to the existing recreational and commercial users of the Sound. The armed security force would be an obstacle to public use of the sound. Furthermore, the hazard posed by such a terminal is unsuitable for a highly populated region such as the New York City Metropolitan area.

I request a letter from you telling me your views on this issue.

I thank you in advance for your response.

Sincerely,

Barry Siskind

Barry Siskind
21 Franklin Drive
Smithtown, NY 11787-2068

CP06-54-001

ORIGINAL

Dear Magalie A. Salas,

I am writing this letter to tell you that I feel the Broadwater is a very unsafe and poor decision.

I feel that the Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. I also feel that our waters would be ruined forever.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter

Yours Truly,

Ronald Carter

29 Eighth Street
New Hyde Park
NY 11040

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:13

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

Dear Magaline R. Salas,

I am writing this letter to tell you that I feel the Broadwater is a very unsafe and poor decision.

I feel that the Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. I also feel that our waters would be ruined forever.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Yours Truly,

Eileen Artusa

Eileen Artusa
29 8th Street
WHP NY
11040

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:13

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

Dear Margie R. Salas,

I am writing this letter to tell you that I feel the Broadwater is a very unsafe and poor decision. I feel that Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. I also feel that our waters would be ruined forever. Thank you for taking the time to read this letter

Yours Truly


Dawn Hirsch
29 Eighth Street
New Hyde Park
NY 11040

2006 DEC -7 P 4 18
REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

WE DO NOT WANT, NOR DO WE NEED THE HORRIBLE EYESORE OF THE BROADWATER LIQUID NATURAL GAS TERMINAL DISTURBING THE BEAUTY AND TRANQUILITY OF OUR LONG ISLAND SOUND. NOR DO WE WANT THE DANGER AND DISRUPTION THAT SUCH AN ENTERPRISE WOULD IMPOSE ON OUR COMMUNITY. PLEASE DO WHATEVER YOU CAN TO DEFEAT THIS QUESTIONABLE VENTURE AND PREVENT IT FROM JEOPARDIZING OUR SAFETY AND SECURITY.

Thank you,
Harry J. Freeze
Harry J. Freeze

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:14

REGULATORY COMMISSION



Mr. Harry J. Freeze
353 N. Idaho Ave.
Massapequa, NY 11758-1319

ORIGINAL

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky LNG barge

The tranquil LIS would forever be protected by private armed security forces surrounding the barge CP06-54-000

A fountainhead

Angela Caloro



18 Seven Street
Garden City Park NY 11040

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC - 7 P 4: 14
SECURITIES COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-002

To:
Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -1 P 4: 14

Thursday, November 30, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am writing this letter to voice my opinion concerning Broadwater.

I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound, as the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of the sound.

Also, this facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Our tax payers' money should be allocated for other, more important and worthy causes. Doing this will cause more harm than good. It is better to keep naturally instituted features alive and well.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter, and I hope something can be done to help this environmentally disturbing issue.

Sincerely,



Abraham Thomas
26 Seventh St,
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 14

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 30, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E.
Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Very truly yours,



Jeffrey Golecki
61 Third Street
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 14

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION


November 30, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E.
Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Very truly yours,



Helene Golecki
61 Third Street
Garden City Park, NY 11040

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:14

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 30 2006,


Dear Ms. Salas,

I'm writing this letter in regard to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal project to be placed in the middle of Long Island Sound.

As residents of Long Island, the majority of us are against this project. We **DONOT** want LNG barges placed in Long Island Sound. There are substantial risks, dangers and disruptions, as you all well know, associated with placing these huge facility. It will affect our environment, our beautiful wetlands, the tranquility of Long Island Sound and other natural resources. Who does Long Island Sound belong to? To the people of Long Island, not to Shell Oil or TransCanada!

We want to protect our Sound and leave it for future generations, our children and grand children to enjoy than ruin it with all this industrialization.

Sincerely



Mr. S. Mathai
1 Whitehall La
New Hyde Pk., NY 11040

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 14

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 30 2006,


Dear Ms. Salas,

I'm writing this letter in regard to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal project to be placed in the middle of Long Island Sound.

As residents of Long Island, the majority of us are against this project. We **DONOT** want LNG barges placed in Long Island Sound. There are substantial risks, dangers and disruptions, as you all well know, associated with placing these huge facility. It will affect our environment, our beautiful wetlands, the tranquility of Long Island Sound and other natural resources. Who does Long Island Sound belong to? To the people of Long Island, not to Shell Oil or TransCanada!

We want to protect our Sound and leave it for future generations, our children and grand children to enjoy than ruin it with all this industrialization.

Sincerely



Mr. M. Mathai
1 Whitehall La
New Hyde Pk., NY 11040

ORIGINAL

TO: Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission

11/30/06
CP06-54-000

I am writing to express my outrage at the ~~proposal~~ ^{over} Bread ~~water~~ proposal.


RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NOV 30 2006

As I understand the facts, I feel it insane to fund big oil business with our tax dollars...

Don't you remember paying almost \$4.00 per gallon for gas?

I Refuse to contribute my money to off set their cost!! Bread WATER is a bad idea!!

Please do your best to stop it!!


John Dilella
65 Third Street
Garden City PU n.c. 11424

ORIGINAL

November 30, 2006

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 17

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION


Dear Public Official,

I am writing to you about the proposed plan called Broadwater.

Personally, I am against the plan. I think it will cost too much money. It is not necessary in the Long Island Sound. In addition, the security required to monitor Broadwater would be disruptive to current peacefulness of the Long Island Sound.

Again please do not support this capitalist adventure. The Sound belongs to the public and we need to leave it alone.

Sincerely,



Raisa Chaudry
80 Fourth Avenue
Garden City Park, NY
11040

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -1 P 4:17

ORIGINAL

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dec. 1, 2006

**Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426**

Dear Ms. Salas:

I wish to inform you that I am opposed to the placement of a Liquid Natural Gas barge in Long Island Sound. I feel that this venture would be too dangerous and costly, and would have negative environmental effects. Long Island's coastal waterways are one of our most precious assets, and must be vigorously protected from industrialization.

I hope you agree.

Sincerely,



**Wendy Fisher
191 Lexington Street
Westbury, NY 11590**

CP06-54-020

11-29-06

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

Arbana Schwartz
362 N. DAKOTA AVE.
N. Mass. NY 11758

2006 DEC -7 P 4:15

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom it May Concern:

Broadwater is too costly,
risky and not needed in the
Long Island Sound area and
the constant armed private
security disrupts the peaceful
tranquil waters of the Sound.
This facility limits public
access and sets a dangerous
precedent of industrializing the
Sound.

Sincerely
Mrs. Schwartz

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1a
Washington, DC 20426

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000


FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 1

I am writing to say that I am against the BroadWater plan for the Long Island Sound. Besides the Inviromental impact it will have on the waterway the cost of building, maintaining and regulating a facility like this should not be the responsibility of the Long Island taxpayers. I believe this will disrupt the publics daily use and enjoyment of the Sound. The cost to maintain a limited access zpne and further impact it will put on our public service facilities would be overwhelming. I ask you to reject this plan.

REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Yours Truly



K. Diamond

90 Broadway
New Hyde Park, NY 11040

ORIGINAL

**Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1a
Washington, DC 20426**

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

2006 DEC -7 P 4:15

I am writing to say that I am against the Broad Water plan for the Long Island Sound. Besides the Inviromental impact it will have on the waterway the cost of building, maintaining and regulating a facility like this should not be the responsibility of the Long Island taxpayers. I believe this will disrupt the publics daily use and enjoyment of the Sound. The cost to maintain a limited access zpne and further impact it will put on our public service facilities would be overwhelming. I ask you to reject this plan.

Yours Truly



**Michael Knaub
90 Broadway
New Hyde Park, NY 11040**

To Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

The Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound &
The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil water of the sound.
Also this facility limits public access and sets dangerous precedents of industrializing the
sound.

CP06-54-000

Thank you
Jack Carall

 ORIGINAL

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 10

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

December 1, 2006 **ORIGINAL**

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 14


Dear Ms. Salas,

I am writing to you about the Broadwater terminal. My husband and I are against this terminal due to its high costs and risk to the Sound. Lets keep this area peaceful and tranquil and protected. This terminal would limit the public's access to this area and disrupt its natural environment.

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

We would appreciate you letting us know what your position is on this project.

Thank you for your time.



Aimee Lloyd
13 Willow Lane
Carle Place, NY 11514

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

December 1, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -1 P 4: 16

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Regulatory Commission
888 First St NE Room 1A
Washington DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and is not needed in the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Max Rinaldi
155 Lexington Street
Westbury NY 11590

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:16

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

December 1, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street N.E.
Room 1 A
Washington, DC 20426


Ms. Salas,

In reviewing the information available regarding Broadwater, it does not seem to be a project that I would support in our Long Island Sound.

Today's Coast Guard has more and more responsibilities on Long Island's shores. Why are we supporting a Costly, Risky, Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal in the middle of the Sound ? Despite what it could do to our waters, and our environment, do we need the extra traffic in the Sound ?

Please add my name to many other Long Islanders who are not in support of this project.

Sincerely,



Steven Mauro
224 Glen Cove Avenue
Carle Place, New York 11514

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4:16

December 1, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street N.E.
Room 1 A
Washington, DC 20426

Ms. Salas,

In reviewing the information available regarding Broadwater, it does not seem to be a project that I would support in our Long Island Sound.

Today's Coast Guard has more and more responsibilities on Long Island's shores. Why are we supporting a Costly, Risky, Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal in the middle of the Sound ? Despite what it could do to our waters, and our environment, do we need the extra traffic in the Sound ?

Please add my name to many other Long Islanders who are not in support of this project.

Sincerely,



Kathryn Buettner
224 Glen Cove Avenue
Carle Place, New York 11514

CP06-54-000

December 1, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Room 1A
Washington, D C 20426

 ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


2006 DEC -7 P 4: 16

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Commissioner Salas:

I am against the Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal proposed for Long Island Sound. I understand the US Coast Guard study has found the proposal a danger and disruption to Long Island Sound. Please do not disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound, nor limit any public access.

Please respond in writing to let me know that you have received my letter and that the citizens do have a voice and not just the corporations have the power to influence these decisions. Thank you.



Trudy Kosavich
340 Rushmore Ave.
Carle Place, NY 11514

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

Dear Magalië R. Salas,

11/29/06

Please help keep Broadwater out of the Long Island Sound. It is too costly, risky, and it is not needed in the Long Island Sound. This facility would be an inappropriate use of the estuary. Our tranquil, calm waters would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

Thank you

A. Schweizer

Amanda Schweizer
322 5th Ave
Saint James Ny 11780

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 16
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

Dear Magalie E. Salas,

November 29, 2006

Please help keep Broadwater out of our Long Island Sound. It is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the natural waters of the Sound. Because of Mills Pond Elementary school I know what it will do. It will pollute the waters and might harm people. I'm in fourth grade in Miss. Pasieka's class. Please help!

Cassandra Schwarz
322 5th Avenue
Saint James NY 11780

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 DEC -7 P 4 16

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

45 Clearland Avenue
Carle Place, New York 11514
December 1, 2006

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 15

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54-000

To Magalie R. Salas:

I am writing in regard to the proposed Broadwater terminal to be built in the middle of the Long Island Sound. Having been a lifetime resident of Long Island, I am strongly against the building of such a terminal. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in the Long Island Sound. **This facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary.** Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would forever be disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the tankers, as they enter and exit the Sound. The facility will limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

What is the Federal Energy Regulation Commission's position on this proposed facility?

I thank you in advance for your time and consideration in this matter. Help us keep Long Island Sound the beautiful tranquil waters it has always been.

Sincerely,



Eileen Mazaroski
45 Clearland Avenue
Carle Place, NY 11514

.....

32 Twelfth Street
Carter Place, NY 11514

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

December 1, 2006

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 16


CP06-54-000

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Thank you,



Marie Schmidt

.....

ORIGINAL

32 Twelfth Street
Corie Place, NY 11514

December 1, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 15

To Whom It May Concern:

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Thank you,


Brian Schmidt

.....

ORIGINAL

32 Twelfth Street
Carle Place, NY 11514

December 1, 2006

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 16

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To Whom It May Concern:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Thank you,

Erin Schmidt

.....

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

11.30.06

Margie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St, N.E, RM 7
Washington, D.C.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION
2PM DEC -7 P M 11TTNS

Please reconsider putting
Broadwater in our sound. It
would be too costly, risky,
and interrupt our beautiful
sound.

Thank you,
~~Tom Miller~~
Frank Pacheco
40 ad Broadway
Garden City Park, NY
11040

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 DEC -7 P 4:17
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Richard Grillo
45 Manchester St
Westbury NY 11590


ORIGINAL

Magalie R Salas
888 First St NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 21426

Dear Ms. Salas,

I am writing to you to ask you tell you that I feel that Broadwater is way too costly and risky. This is not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the waters of the Sound. So much of nature is being taken away from us please do not allow this to happen.

Thank You
Richard Grillo



CP06-54-000 ORIGINAL

November 30, 2006

I am writing to convey my concerns about the safety and cost of the joint Shell Oil/TransCanada Broadwater proposal for a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the Long Island Sound. Primary among these concerns are:

- the potential for harming the environment
- the restricted access to the affected area of the Sound and its effect on commercial and recreational boating
- the potential for increased local costs for insurance and fire protection
- the possible use of private armed security.

Recent history (e.g., British Petroleum's Alaska pipeline) has shown us that profits can outweigh safety, and that questionable ventures are more easily stopped before they are started.

I would be interested in hearing your position on this proposal. Thank you.

Daniel Mazzola
8 Atlas Court
Garden City Park NY 11040

RECEIVED
 OFFICE OF THE
 SECRETARY
 2006 DEC -7 P 4: 17
 REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54-000

ORIGINAL

November 30, 2006

I am writing to convey my concerns about the safety and cost of the joint Shell Oil/TransCanada Broadwater proposal for a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the Long Island Sound. Primary among these concerns are:

- the potential for harming the environment
- the restricted access to the affected area of the Sound and its effect on commercial and recreational boating
- the potential for increased local costs for insurance and fire protection
- the possible use of private armed security.

Recent history (e.g., British Petroleum's Alaska pipeline) has shown us that profits can outweigh safety, and that questionable ventures are more easily stopped before they are started.

I would be interested in hearing your position on this proposal. Thank you.

Patricia Mazzola

Patricia Mazzola
8 Atlas Court
Garden City Park NY 11040

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 17

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 17

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

357 N. Idaho Avenue
N. Massapequa, NY 11758

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Madam:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you,

Lori Moriarty

ORIGINAL

CP06-54-000

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 DEC -7 P 4: 17

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 28, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Madam,

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you,

John Moriarty